# First Regular Session Seventy-third General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

#### **ENGROSSED**

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted on Second Reading in the House of Introduction

LLS NO. 21-0831.02 Conrad Imel x2313

**SENATE BILL 21-277** 

#### SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Moreno, Hansen, Rankin

#### **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

Herod, McCluskie

#### **Senate Committees**

**House Committees** 

Health & Human Services Appropriations

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

101	CONCERNING A FUNDING MODEL USED TO DETERMINE CHILD WELFARE
102	SERVICES ALLOCATIONS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH
103	MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

### **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <a href="http://leg.colorado.gov/">http://leg.colorado.gov/</a>.)

Joint Budget Committee. The bill requires the state department of human services (state department), beginning in state fiscal year 2024-25, to use the child welfare allocations funding model (funding model) to determine the capped and targeted allocations for child welfare services and the funding required for adoption and relative guardianship

subsidies, the independent living program, additional county child welfare staff, and family and children's programs.

The funding model determines the appropriate level of funding required to fully meet all state and federal requirements concerning the comprehensive delivery of child welfare services. The bill clarifies what must be included in the funding model and requires the state department and the child welfare allocations committee to annually submit a report on the funding model to the joint budget committee.

The department is required to enter into a 3-year agreement with an outside entity to annually modify the funding model based on recommendations from the child welfare allocations committee and evaluations and deliver the results of the model each year. The bill requires a child welfare workload study to inform the funding model. To maintain the integrity of the data used in the funding model, the child welfare allocations committee shall annually examine county practices regarding data collection and financial management, an evaluation group annually evaluates the funding model, and, every 3 years, an outside evaluating entity conducts a comprehensive evaluation of the implementation of the funding model.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

**SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 26-5-104, **amend** (3)(a), (3)(a.6), (7)(b), and (8)(a); and **add** (3)(a.2), (6.1)(c), and (6.6)(c) as follows:

26-5-104. Funding of child welfare services provider contracts - funding mechanism review - fund - report - rules - definitions - repeal. (3) Allocation formula. (a) (I) For state fiscal year 2018-19 and for each state fiscal year thereafter THROUGH STATE FISCAL YEAR 2023-24, the state department, after input from the child welfare allocations committee, shall develop formulas for capped and targeted allocations, including the child welfare services allocation, the allocation for additional county child welfare staff, and the allocation for family and children's programs. Allocation formulas developed pursuant to this subsection (3)(a) must include, effective for EACH state fiscal year

-2- 277

1 2018-19 and each state fiscal year thereafter THROUGH 2023-24, the 2 estimated caseload for the delivery of those specific child welfare services 3 to be funded by the money in the capped or targeted allocations. The 4 formulas must also include a performance-aligned component that 5 supports the implementation AND DELIVERY of promising, supported, or 6 well-supported practices, as defined in the federal "Family First 7 Prevention Services Act of 2018", as defined in section 26-5-101 (4.5); 8 be outcome-driven; and be aligned with desired state-department-defined 9 or federally required outcomes and goals. The allocation to each county 10 from any given formula must be equitable and reflective of the cost of 11 delivering services. If a county receives more than one capped or targeted 12 allocation for the delivery of child welfare services, the formula must 13 identify the specific caseload estimate attributable to each capped or 14 targeted allocation. 15 (II) THIS SUBSECTION (3)(a) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024. 16 (a.2) (I) FOR STATE FISCAL YEAR 2024-25, AND FOR EACH STATE 17 FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THE STATE DEPARTMENT, AFTER INPUT FROM 18 THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, SHALL USE THE FUNDING 19 MODEL DESCRIBED IN SECTION 26-5-103.7 TO DETERMINE THE FUNDING 20 REQUIRED FOR EACH COUNTY FOR ADOPTION AND RELATIVE 21 GUARDIANSHIP SUBSIDIES AND THE INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM, AND 22 TO DETERMINE THE CAPPED AND TARGETED ALLOCATIONS TO EACH 23 COUNTY, OR GROUP OF COUNTIES, FOR CHILD WELFARE SERVICES, 24 ADDITIONAL COUNTY CHILD WELFARE STAFF, AND FAMILY AND CHILDREN'S

(II) THE STATE DEPARTMENT, AFTER INPUT FROM THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, SHALL MAKE THE CAPPED AND

25

26

27

PROGRAMS.

-3-

TARGETED ALLOCATIONS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (3)(a.2)(I) OF THIS SECTION BASED ON THE TOTAL AMOUNT IDENTIFIED IN THE FUNDING MODEL AS THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF FUNDING REQUIRED FOR EACH COUNTY TO FULLY MEET ALL STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING THE COMPREHENSIVE DELIVERY OF CHILD WELFARE SERVICES, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 26-5-101 (3), AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, LESS THE AMOUNT APPROPRIATED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN THE ANNUAL LONG APPROPRIATIONS BILL FOR ADOPTION AND RELATIVE GUARDIANSHIP SUBSIDIES AND THE INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM. THE ALLOCATIONS MUST BE EQUITABLE AND REFLECTIVE OF THE COST OF DELIVERING SERVICES AND MUST IDENTIFY THE SPECIFIC CASELOAD ESTIMATE ATTRIBUTABLE TO EACH CAPPED OR TARGETED ALLOCATION. (III) IF THE APPROPRIATION MADE FOR A FISCAL YEAR IS NOT

EQUAL TO THE AMOUNT NECESSARY TO FULLY FUND THE ALLOCATIONS REQUIRED BY THE FUNDING MODEL, THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE SHALL MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT CONCERNING HOW TO MODIFY THE RESULTS OF THE FUNDING MODEL TO ALIGN WITH THE APPROPRIATION. AFTER INPUT FROM THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL ADJUST THE ALLOCATION TO EACH COUNTY TO ENSURE THAT THE FUNDING MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL COUNTIES THROUGH CAPPED AND TARGETED ALLOCATIONS DOES NOT EXCEED THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION.

(a.6) On or before March 1 of any state fiscal year, the child welfare allocations committee shall submit written recommendations to the state department to inform the capped and targeted allocations. The child welfare allocations committee is encouraged to include

-4- 277

1	documentation on how the recommendations support the achievement of
2	expectations described in subsection (3)(a) of this section.
3	(6.1) (c) (I) On or before December 31, 2021, the state
4	DEPARTMENT SHALL ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH AN OUTSIDE
5	ENTITY TO CONDUCT AN UPDATED WORKLOAD STUDY. THE OUTSIDE
6	ENTITY MAY BE THE SAME ENTITY THAT UPDATES AND MODIFIES THE
7	ALLOCATIONS FUNDING MODEL PURSUANT TO SECTION $26-5-103.7(2)$ . On
8	or before January 15, 2023, the outside entity shall complete the
9	STUDY AND DELIVER THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY TO THE JOINT BUDGET
10	COMMITTEE, THE STATE DEPARTMENT, THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
11	COMMITTEE, AND, IF DIFFERENT, THE OUTSIDE ENTITY DESCRIBED IN
12	SECTION 26-5-103.7 (2).
13	(II) (A) THE UPDATED WORKLOAD STUDY MUST INCLUDE
14	CONSIDERATION OF, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO CONSIDERING, THE FOLLOWING
15	DATA: COUNTY POPULATION INFORMATION; CHILD WELFARE STAFF BY
16	COUNTY; COUNTY BUDGET INFORMATION; THE NUMBER OF TIME-STUDY
17	PARTICIPANTS BY COUNTY; KEY TASKS PERFORMED BY CHILD WELFARE
18	WORKERS; DETAILED RESULTS FOR TIME SPENT PER CASE ON INDIVIDUAL
19	TASKS; THE PERCENTAGE OF HOURS RECORDED AND PAID BY EACH
20	COUNTY; AND DEVELOPMENT OF A METHOD TO CREATE WORKLOAD,
21	CASELOAD, AND STAFFING MODELS.
22	(B) ALL COUNTIES ARE ENCOURAGED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE
23	UPDATED WORKLOAD STUDY. IF A COUNTY ELECTS NOT TO PARTICIPATE
24	IN THE STUDY, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL DETERMINE THE PROXY DATA FOR
25	EACH NONPARTICIPATING COUNTY TO BE USED IN THE STUDY.
26	(III) This subsection $(6.1)(c)$ is repealed, effective June 30,
27	2023.

-5- 277

1	(6.6) (c) (I) On or before July 1, 2022, the state department
2	SHALL SUBMIT TO THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE AN UPDATE OF THE
3	REPORT REQUIRED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (6.6)(b) OF THIS SECTION
4	THAT INCLUDES UPDATED INFORMATION ABOUT EACH OF THE SUBJECTS
5	ADDRESSED IN THE INITIAL REPORT.
6	(II) This subsection $(6.6)(c)$ is repealed, effective June 30,
7	2023.
8	(7) (b) A county may only receive money pursuant to the
9	provisions of subsection (7)(a.5) of this section if the requirements of
10	section 26-5-103.5 (4) have been satisfied, for expenditures other than
11	those attributable to administrative and support functions as referred to
12	in section 26-5-101 (3)(m) as defined in accordance with the provisions
13	of section 26-5-103.5 (4), and for authorized expenditures attributable to
14	caseload increases beyond the caseload estimate established pursuant to
15	subsection (3) of this section for a specific capped allocation.
16	(8) County-level child welfare staff. (a) For the state fiscal year
17	2015-16, and for each state fiscal year thereafter, each county may receive
18	a capped allocation in addition to its portion of the child welfare block
19	grant for the specific purpose of hiring new child welfare staff at the
20	county level in addition to child welfare staff existing as of January 1,
21	2015. A county that utilizes said additional allocation shall continue to
22	pay for child welfare staff positions existing as of January 1, 2015,
23	through the child welfare block grant. The child welfare allocations
24	committee shall determine the allocation formula pursuant to subsection
25	(3) of this section.
26	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 26-5-103.7 as
27	follows:

-6- 277

1	26-5-103.7. Child welfare allocations funding model -
2	evaluation group - report - definitions - repeal. (1) AS USED IN THIS
3	SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:
4	(a) "EVALUATION GROUP" MEANS THE GROUP ESTABLISHED TO
5	EVALUATE THE FUNDING MODEL DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS
6	SECTION.
7	(b) "FUNDING MODEL" MEANS THE FUNDING MODEL TO DETERMINE
8	THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF FUNDING REQUIRED TO FULLY MEET ALL
9	STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING THE COMPREHENSIVE
10	DELIVERY OF CHILD WELFARE SERVICES THAT WAS DEVELOPED PURSUANT
11	TO SECTION $26-5-103.5$ (7) PRIOR TO ITS REPEAL IN $2021$ , AND INCLUDES
12	FACTORS THAT BEST MEET THE NEEDS OF CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES
13	IN THE CHILD WELFARE SYSTEM.
14	(2) (a) (I) On or before December 31, 2021, the state
15	DEPARTMENT SHALL ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH AN OUTSIDE
16	ENTITY TO UPDATE AND MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL FOR FISCAL YEARS
17	2022-23 THROUGH 2024-25 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
18	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE.
19	THE AGREEMENT MUST END NO LATER THAN JUNE 30, 2024.
20	(II) On or before March 31, 2022, the outside entity shall
21	UPDATE AND MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL TO BE USED FOR THE $2022-23$
22	FISCAL YEAR. ON OR BEFORE MARCH 31, 2023, THE OUTSIDE ENTITY
23	SHALL UPDATE AND MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL TO BE USED FOR THE
24	2023-24 FISCAL YEAR. ON OR BEFORE MARCH 31, 2024, THE OUTSIDE
25	ENTITY SHALL UPDATE AND MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL TO BE USED FOR
26	THE 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR. FOR EACH YEAR, THE OUTSIDE ENTITY SHALL
27	UPDATE THE FUNDING MODEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE

-7- 277

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE MADE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (7) OF THIS SECTION AND DELIVER THE RESULTS OF THE MODEL TO THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE, STATE DEPARTMENT, AND CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE. (III) This subsection (2)(a) is repealed, effective July 1, 2024. (b) ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, AND ON OR BEFORE JULY 1 EVERY THIRD YEAR THEREAFTER, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL ENTER INTO A THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT WITH AN OUTSIDE ENTITY TO ANNUALLY MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL, UPDATE THE DATA USED IN THE FUNDING MODEL, AND DELIVER THE RESULTS OF THE FUNDING MODEL, AS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (8) OF THIS SECTION. 

(3) ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, AND ON OR BEFORE JULY 1 EVERY THIRD YEAR THEREAFTER, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH AN OUTSIDE EVALUATING ENTITY TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FUNDING MODEL. THE EVALUATION MUST ENSURE THAT THE APPROPRIATE MODIFICATIONS WERE MADE TO THE FUNDING MODEL IN THE PRECEDING THREE YEARS, INCLUDING NECESSARY CHANGES RELATED TO FEDERAL AND STATE LAW; WHETHER COUNTY DATA WAS ACCURATELY AND APPROPRIATELY UPDATED EACH YEAR; WHETHER THE MODEL WAS RUN EACH YEAR AND USED FOR ALLOCATIONS TO COUNTIES; HOW THE ALLOCATIONS WERE MADE TO EACH COUNTY; WHETHER COUNTIES INCREASED STAFFING LEVELS AS A RESULT OF THE MODEL'S WORKLOAD METRIC; AND WHETHER THE UPDATED WORKLOAD STUDY DESCRIBED IN SECTION 26-5-104 (6.1)(b) WAS ADDED TO THE MODEL. ON OR BEFORE OCTOBER 1 OF EACH YEAR OF AN AGREEMENT, THE EVALUATING ENTITY

-8-

1	SHALL DELIVER THE RESULTS OF ITS EVALUATION TO THE STATE
2	DEPARTMENT, CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, AND THE
3	OUTSIDE ENTITY DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION. THE
4	DEPARTMENT SHALL NOT ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO THIS
5	SUBSECTION (3) WITH THE SAME OUTSIDE ENTITY DESCRIBED IN
6	SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION.
7	(4) BEGINNING WITH THE FUNDING MODEL EFFECTIVE FOR STATE
8	FISCAL YEAR 2024-25, THE FUNDING MODEL MUST:
9	(a) INCLUDE FACTORS ADDRESSING COUNTY WORKLOAD,
10	INFORMED BY THE WORKLOAD STUDY CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO SECTION
11	26-5-104 (6.1)(c), INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF CHILD WELFARE CASE
12	AIDES, CASE WORKERS, AND SUPERVISORS NECESSARY TO PERFORM ALL
13	RESPONSIBILITIES REQUIRED BY STATE AND FEDERAL LAW;
14	(b) INCLUDE FACTORS ADDRESSING DEMOGRAPHIC DATA,
	(1)
15	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC
15 16	
	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC
16	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE
16 17	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103,
16 17 18	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED
16 17 18 19	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD AND FAMILY;
16 17 18 19 20	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD AND FAMILY;  (c) INCLUDE THE ESTIMATED CASELOAD FOR THE DELIVERY OF
16 17 18 19 20 21	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD AND FAMILY;  (c) INCLUDE THE ESTIMATED CASELOAD FOR THE DELIVERY OF SPECIFIC CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN EACH COUNTY, TO BE FUNDED BY
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD AND FAMILY;  (c) INCLUDE THE ESTIMATED CASELOAD FOR THE DELIVERY OF SPECIFIC CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN EACH COUNTY, TO BE FUNDED BY THE MONEY ALLOCATED TO COUNTIES PURSUANT TO SECTION 26-5-104;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INCLUDING POVERTY STATISTICS, AND STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIC DRIVERS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE COST OF DELIVERING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 19-1-103, WITH AN EMPHASIS ON BUILDING CAPACITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES BASED ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD AND FAMILY;  (c) INCLUDE THE ESTIMATED CASELOAD FOR THE DELIVERY OF SPECIFIC CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN EACH COUNTY, TO BE FUNDED BY THE MONEY ALLOCATED TO COUNTIES PURSUANT TO SECTION 26-5-104;  (d) INCLUDE A PERFORMANCE-ALIGNED COMPONENT THAT

(e) BE DRIVEN BY OUTCOMES RELATED TO THE STABILITY AND

27

-9- 277

1	WELL-BEING OF CHILDREN RECEIVING CHILD WELFARE SERVICES,
2	CONSISTENT WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DELIVERY OF CHILD
3	WELFARE SERVICES TASK FORCE MADE PURSUANT TO SECTION $26\text{-}5\text{-}105.8$
4	(4.5) PRIOR TO ITS REPEAL IN 2023; AND
5	(f) Include incentives for the delivery of services based
6	ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DELIVERY OF CHILD WELFARE
7	SERVICES TASK FORCE MADE PURSUANT TO SECTION 26-5-105.8. THE
8	FUNDING MODEL MUST PROVIDE THE INCENTIVES TO COUNTIES BASED ON
9	MEASUREMENTS AND METRICS ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT
10	AFTER CONSIDERATION OF INPUT FROM THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
11	COMMITTEE. THE MEASUREMENTS AND METRICS MAY INCLUDE METRICS
12	CONCERNING SUCCESSFUL ADOPTIONS, SUCCESSFULLY SUSTAINED
13	PLACEMENTS, HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATIONS, FAMILY REUNIFICATIONS, NO
14	RECURRENCE OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT, AND TIMELY DENTAL AND MEDICAL
15	CHECKS.
16	(5) Notwithstanding section 24-1-136 (11)(a)(I), on or
17	BEFORE NOVEMBER 15, 2021, AND ON OR BEFORE NOVEMBER 15 OF EACH
18	YEAR THEREAFTER, THE STATE DEPARTMENT AND THE CHILD WELFARE
19	ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE SHALL SUBMIT A REPORT REGARDING THE
20	FUNDING MODEL TO THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE. THE REPORT MUST
21	INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION CONCERNING THE PREVIOUS
22	FISCAL YEAR:
23	(a) THE RESULTS OF THE FUNDING MODEL, INCLUDING THE COST
24	PER COUNTY NECESSARY TO MEET ALL STATE AND FEDERAL
25	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE DELIVERY OF CHILD WELFARE
26	SERVICES;
27	(b) The difference between each county's actual

-10- 277

1	ALLOCATION AND THE ALLOCATION AMOUNT IDENTIFIED BY THE FUNDING
2	MODEL;
3	(c) The final close-out pursuant to section $26-5-104(7)$ for
4	THE PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR;
5	(d) ANY MODIFICATIONS MADE TO THE MODEL TO IMPROVE THE
6	ACCURACY OF THE DATA;
7	(e) A DESCRIPTION OF THE INCENTIVES INCLUDED IN THE FUNDING
8	MODEL AND THE AMOUNT OF INCENTIVES PROVIDED TO EACH COUNTY;
9	AND
10	(f) Any other issues related to funding child welfare
11	SERVICES IDENTIFIED BY THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE.
12	(6) (a) (I) On or before August 1, 2021, and on or before
13	AUGUST 1 OF EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
14	COMMITTEE SHALL ESTABLISH AND APPOINT MEMBERS TO A FUNDING
15	MODEL EVALUATION GROUP TO EVALUATE THE FUNDING MODEL.
16	(II) THE EVALUATION GROUP HAS SEVEN MEMBERS WHO ARE
17	EXPERTS IN CHILD WELFARE FUNDING AND POLICY. AT LEAST ONE MEMBER
18	MUST BE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILD
19	WELFARE FUNDING EXPERTISE, ONE MEMBER MUST BE A COUNTY
20	FINANCIAL OFFICER FROM A COUNTY DESCRIBED IN SECTION 26-5-104
21	(4)(b)(I),  and one member must be a county financial officer from
22	A COUNTY DESCRIBED IN SECTION 26-5-104 (4)(b)(II).
23	(III) THE MEMBERS OF THE EVALUATION GROUP SERVE WITHOUT
24	COMPENSATION BUT MAY BE REIMBURSED FOR ACTUAL AND NECESSARY
25	EXPENSES INCURRED IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THEIR DUTIES.
26	(b) The evaluation group shall evaluate the funding
27	MODEL TO ENSURE THAT IT IS CONSISTENT WITH CHANGES TO STATE AND

-11- 277

1	FEDERAL LAW, INCLUDES OUTCOME-BASED INCENTIVES AND CHILD AND
2	FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOMES AS FACTORS IN THE MODEL, INCLUDES AN
3	ONGOING WORKLOAD ANALYSIS, AND SATISFIES THE CRITERIA DESCRIBED
4	IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION. THE EVALUATION GROUP SHALL
5	EVALUATE ANY COMPONENTS OF THE FUNDING MODEL IDENTIFIED FOR
6	EVALUATION BY THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE
7	PURSUANT TO SECTION 26-5-103.5 (4.5).
8	(c) On or before October 1, 2021, and on or before October
9	1 OF EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, THE EVALUATION GROUP MUST COMPLETE
10	ITS ANNUAL EVALUATION OF THE FUNDING MODEL AND DELIVER ITS
11	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
12	COMMITTEE.
13	(7) On or before December 31, 2021, and on or before
14	DECEMBER 31 OF EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, AND AFTER CONSIDERING THE
15	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE EVALUATION GROUP, THE
16	CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE SHALL DELIVER TO THE STATE
17	DEPARTMENT AND OUTSIDE ENTITY DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS
18	SECTION RESPONSIBLE FOR UPDATING THE FUNDING MODEL THE
19	COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE FUNDING
20	MODEL THAT ARE NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT THE MODEL IS CURRENT
21	AND REFLECTS ANY CHANGES IN FEDERAL OR STATE LAW AND COUNTY
22	DATA AND WORKLOAD.
23	(8) The outside entity described in subsection (2) of this
24	SECTION SHALL:
25	(a) On or before March 31 of the first year of its
26	AGREEMENT, MODIFY THE MODEL TO BE USED FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR
27	BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO

-12- 277

1	SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE
2	STATE DEPARTMENT, CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, AND
3	EVALUATION GROUP; UPDATE THE DATA USED IN THE MODEL; AND
4	DELIVER THE RESULTS OF THE MODEL TO THE CHILD WELFARE
5	ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE, STATE DEPARTMENT, AND JOINT BUDGET
6	COMMITTEE; AND
7	(b) On or before March 31 of each other year of the
8	AGREEMENT, MODIFY THE FUNDING MODEL TO BE USED FOR THE NEXT
9	FISCAL YEAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CHILD
10	WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE MADE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION $(7)$
11	OF THIS SECTION, UPDATE THE DATA USED IN THE MODEL, AND DELIVER
12	THE RESULTS OF THE MODEL TO THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
13	COMMITTEE, STATE DEPARTMENT, AND JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE.
14	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 26-5-103.5, amend
15	(1), (2)(a), (2)(c) introductory portion, (2)(c)(III), and (3); <b>repeal</b> (4) and
16	(7); and <b>add</b> (4.5) as follows:
17	26-5-103.5. Child welfare allocations committee - organization
18	- duties - funding model - definition - repeal. (1) The state department
19	shall convene a child welfare allocations committee referred to in this
20	section as the "committee", as necessary in order to PERFORM THE DUTIES
21	DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION AND make advisory recommendations as
22	described in this article 5.
23	(2) (a) The CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS committee consists of
24	thirteen members, ten of whom must be appointed by county
25	commissioners and three of vibors must be amointed by the state
	commissioners and three of whom must be appointed by the state
26	department, and the CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS committee consists of

-13-

(c) Of the members appointed by county commissioners, only one
representative per county may serve on the CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS
committee at the same time, and:
(III) Two members must be representatives from the two counties

- in the state with the greatest percentage of the state's child welfare caseload. County commissioners in the two counties with the greatest percentage of the state's child welfare caseload shall each appoint one member from their counties to serve on the CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS committee.
- (3) The CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS committee shall develop its own operating procedures.
- (4) No later than January 15, 1999, the state department, with input from the committee, shall make recommendations to the joint budget committee of the general assembly for a definition of what constitutes administration and support functions as referred to in section 26-5-101 (3)(m) and a method for identifying costs for such functions.
- (4.5) (a) On or before August 1, 2021, and on or before August 1 of each year thereafter, the child welfare allocations committee shall identify components of the funding model that should be evaluated by the funding model evaluation group pursuant to section 26-5-103.7.
- (b) In order to ensure the integrity of the funding model described in Section 26-5-103.7, on or before September 1, 2021, and on or before September 1 of each year thereafter, the child welfare allocations committee shall:
- (I) ESTABLISH EXPECTATIONS FOR GATHERING AND USING DATA IN
  THE FUNDING MODEL TO ENSURE CONSISTENCY WITHIN THE FUNDING

-14- 277

1	MODEL;
2	(II) IDENTIFY COUNTY TRAINING AND CAPACITY NEEDS TO ENSURE
3	INTEGRITY OF THE DATA COLLECTED AND USED IN THE COLORADO
4	TRAILS CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND COUNTY FINANCIAL
5	MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS; AND
6	(III) DEVELOP STRATEGIES AND RECOMMEND CHANGES TO DATA
7	SYSTEMS THAT SUPPORT THE FUNDING MODEL AND TO FINANCIAL POLICIES
8	AND PRACTICES TO ENSURE THAT APPROPRIATE, CONSISTENT, AND
9	ACCURATE DATA CAN BE USED TO INFORM THE FUNDING MODEL.
10	(c) THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE
11	INPUT TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT CONCERNING THE MEASUREMENTS AND
12	METRICS FOR COUNTIES TO RECEIVE INCENTIVES RECOMMENDED BY THE
13	DELIVERY OF CHILD WELFARE SERVICES TASK FORCE MADE PURSUANT TO
14	SECTION 26-5-105.8.
15	(d) THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE SHALL ALSO
16	PERFORM ANY DUTIES REQUIRED IN SECTION 26-5-103.7 RELATED TO THE

PERFORM ANY DUTIES REQUIRED IN SECTION 26-5-103.7 RELATED TO THE CHILD WELFARE ALLOCATIONS FUNDING MODEL.

(7) (a) Beginning with state fiscal year 2018-19, and every three years thereafter, the state department shall contract with an outside entity to develop a funding model that must be used to inform the committee, the general assembly, the governor, and the state department of the appropriate level of funding required to fully meet all state and federal requirements concerning the comprehensive delivery of child welfare services, as defined in section 26-5-101 (3). The funding model must take into consideration workload; demographic data, including poverty statistics; and state and local economic drivers that may influence the delivery of services. The funding model developed pursuant to this

-15-

1 subsection (7) must be informed by the recommendations of the delivery 2 of child welfare services task force as set forth in section 26-5-105.8 and 3 must be used to inform the decision-making process of the committee. 4 (b) (I) On or before November 1, 2019, and each November 1 5 thereafter, the state department, in collaboration with the committee, shall 6 submit an annual report to the joint budget committee, or any successor 7 committee. The report must include the results of regular evaluations of 8 the funding model developed pursuant to subsection (7)(a) of this section, 9 the allocation formulas developed pursuant to section 26-5-104 (3), and 10 outcomes and performance measures related to the delivery of child 11 welfare services, pursuant to section 26-5-105.8. 12 (II) Notwithstanding section 24-1-136 (11)(a)(I), the reporting 13 requirement in subsection (7)(b)(I) of this section continues indefinitely. 14 **SECTION 4.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 26-5-105.8, amend 15 (1)(c), (1)(h), and (5); and **add** (4.5) as follows: 16 26-5-105.8. Delivery of child welfare services task force -17 creation - duties - membership - reporting requirements - repeal. 18 (1) There is created in the state department the delivery of child welfare 19 services task force, referred to in this section as the "task force". The state 20 department, in collaboration with counties, shall convene the task force 21 at least once per quarter, beginning July 1, 2018. The purpose of the task 22 force is to: 23 (c) Establish performance and outcome measures and the process 24 by which to evaluate the measures associated with the delivery of child 25 welfare services, including but not limited to residential out-of-home 26 placements; foster care; adoption; and services to children and youth in 27 their own homes, including prevention and intervention services, and

-16- 277

1	determine how the measures and evaluation will be used to inform the
2	funding model described in section 26-5-103.5 (7)(a) SECTION 26-5-103.7
3	and the allocation of funds pursuant to section 26-5-104 (3);
4	(h) Make recommendations to the general assembly JOINT BUDGET
5	COMMITTEE, the governor, the state department, and the child welfare
6	allocations committee concerning the task force's responsibilities and
7	findings.
8	(4.5) On or before July 31, 2022, the task force shall
9	REPORT TO THE FUNDING MODEL EVALUATION GROUP ESTABLISHED IN
10	${\tt SECTION26-5-103.7ITSRECOMMENDATIONSFORINCLUDINGINTHECHILD}$
11	WELFARE SERVICES FUNDING MODEL DESCRIBED IN SECTION 26-5-103.7
12	PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURES AND OUTCOME-BASED
13	INCENTIVES RELATED TO THE STABILITY AND WELL-BEING OF CHILDREN
14	WHO RECEIVE CHILD WELFARE SERVICES. THE TASK FORCE MAY PROVIDE
15	UPDATED RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE EVALUATION GROUP PRIOR TO THE
16	REPEAL OF THIS SECTION.
17	(5) This section is repealed, effective June 30, <del>2022</del> 2023.
18	<b>SECTION 5. Appropriation.</b> For the 2021-22 state fiscal year,
19	\$250,000 is appropriated to the department of human services for use by
20	the division of child welfare. This appropriation is from the general fund.
21	To implement this act, the division may use this appropriation for the
22	county child welfare workload study.
23	SECTION 6. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
24	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
25	preservation of the public peace, health, or safety.

-17- 277