First Regular Session Seventy-third General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 21-0061.01 Duane Gall x4335

HOUSE BILL 21-1269

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Hooton and Boesenecker,

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Donovan,

House Committees

Senate Committees

Energy & Environment Appropriations

	A BILL FOR AN ACT
101	CONCERNING AN INVESTIGATION BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES
102	COMMISSION TO EVALUATE THE PARAMETERS OF AN ENERGY
103	POLICY ALLOWING COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO THAT ARE
104	SERVED BY AN INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY TO CHOOSE
105	ALTERNATIVE WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY SUPPLIERS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill concerns the concept of "community choice energy" (CCE), under which a community, or group of communities, may choose

to purchase their electricity from a wholesale supplier other than the local investor-owned electric utility. The bill declares that CCE has the potential to enable communities to meet their renewable energy goals and to reduce their electricity rates by allowing wholesale competition and local control over the energy supplier and energy mix without changing the local utility's current status as sole supplier of electric transmission, distribution, billing, and customer service functions.

To lay the groundwork for evaluating the potential adoption of CCE in Colorado, the bill proposes an investigatory proceeding at the public utilities commission that would invite testimony and documentation from interested stakeholders, utilities, the public, invited subject-matter experts, and persons with firsthand knowledge of CCE operations, including regulators from states in which CCE has been implemented. The proceeding would address a series of questions and topics that are specified in the bill, with the goal of better understanding CCE in the Colorado context and identifying best practices that would allow CCE to function well in Colorado if adopted. The bill does not change current statutes and regulations governing the electricity system.

The bill directs the commission to submit a report summarizing the investigatory proceeding to the legislative committees with jurisdiction over energy matters by December 15, 2022.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 40-4-120 as follows:

40-4-120. Study of community choice in wholesale electric supply - duties of commission - report - legislative declaration - definition - repeal. (1) Legislative declaration. (a) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND DETERMINES THAT:

(I) FOURTEEN COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO, KNOWN AS THE "READY FOR 100" COMMUNITIES, HAVE COMMITTED TO OBTAINING ONE HUNDRED PERCENT RENEWABLE ENERGY BY 2025 TO 2035. IN ADDITION, THIRTY-FIVE COMMUNITIES, KNOWN AS "COLORADO COMMUNITIES FOR CLIMATE ACTION", HAVE ORGANIZED TO ADVOCATE FOR STRONGER CLIMATE CHANGE POLICIES. THESE COMMUNITIES, WHICH REPRESENT

-2- 1269

1	MORETHANONEMILLIONCOLORADANS, AREEXPLORINGWAYSTOREACH
2	THEIR ENERGY AND CLIMATE GOALS WITHIN THEIR DESIRED TIME PERIODS.
3	(II) A KEY ELEMENT OF THE GOVERNOR'S POLICY INITIATIVE,
4	ENTITLED "ROADMAP TO 100% Renewable Energy by 2040 and Bold
5	CLIMATE ACTION", PRIORITIZES SUPPORTING LOCAL COMMITMENTS TO
6	ONE HUNDRED PERCENT RENEWABLE ENERGY.
7	(III) THE ABILITY OF A COMMUNITY TO ACHIEVE ITS ENERGY
8	GOALS IS CURRENTLY LIMITED BY THE ENERGY SUPPLY AND THE
9	DECARBONIZATION TIMELINE OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY THAT SERVES THAT
10	COMMUNITY'S GEOGRAPHIC AREA. THE ABILITY TO PROCURE ELECTRICITY
11	FROM ALTERNATIVE WHOLESALE SUPPLIERS MAY ENABLE COMMUNITIES
12	TO ACHIEVE THEIR ENERGY GOALS FASTER AND MORE COST-EFFECTIVELY.
13	(IV) COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY OR CCE, ALSO COMMONLY
14	KNOWN AS COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATION OR CCA, IS A LOCAL
15	ENERGY MODEL THAT A NUMBER OF STATES HAVE ADOPTED AND THAT HAS
16	PROVEN TO BE EFFECTIVE IN HELPING COMMUNITIES ACHIEVE THEIR
17	RENEWABLE ENERGY GOALS, COST-CONTAINMENT GOALS, OR BOTH. A
18	ROBUST STUDY OF CCE WOULD ANSWER KEY QUESTIONS AND ILLUMINATE
19	THE POSSIBLE BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF ADAPTING THE CCE MODEL
20	AS AN OPTION FOR COLORADO COMMUNITIES.
21	(V) IN THE CCE MODEL, COMMUNITIES THAT ARE SERVED BY AN
22	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY MAY CHOOSE THEIR WHOLESALE
23	ELECTRICITY SUPPLIERS, WHILE THE ELECTRICITY CONTINUES TO BE
24	DELIVERED BY THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY. IN
25	STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED CCE TO DATE, CCE IS NOT PERMITTED IN
26	COMMUNITIES THAT ARE SERVED BY A COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC
27	ASSOCIATION OR A MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY.

-3- 1269

1	(VI) IN THE CCE MODEL, AN INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY
2	CONTINUES TO OWN AND OPERATE ITS TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION
3	SYSTEM TO SERVE BOTH CCE CUSTOMERS AND ITS OWN CUSTOMERS, AND
4	THE UTILITY CONTINUES TO PROVIDE METERING AND BILLING SERVICES,
5	MANAGE CUSTOMER SERVICE, AND IMPLEMENT DEMAND-SIDE
6	MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS. THE UTILITY CONTINUES TO OWN AND OPERATE
7	ITS POWER GENERATION ASSETS TO SERVE ITS OWN CUSTOMERS. IF A
8	COMMUNITY CHOOSES TO ADOPT CCE, THE UTILITY WOULD DELIVER THE
9	ELECTRICITY, WITH APPROPRIATE COMPENSATION, FROM ONE OR MORE
10	ALTERNATIVE SUPPLIERS TO CCE CUSTOMERS.
11	(VII) This section concerns the "wholesale, opt-out"
12	MODEL OF CCE, PURSUANT TO WHICH INDIVIDUAL CUSTOMERS ARE
13	AUTOMATICALLY ENROLLED IN AND RETAIN THE RIGHT TO OPT OUT OF
14	THEIR COMMUNITY'S CCE OFFERINGS AND PURCHASE THEIR ELECTRICITY
15	FROM THE UTILITY UNDER ITS TRADITIONAL BUNDLED SERVICE. BY
16	CONTRAST, THE RETAIL MODEL OF CCE, IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS IN
17	DEREGULATED RETAIL CHOICE STATES CAN SHOP FOR THEIR ELECTRICITY
18	FROM AMONG MANY COMPETING SUPPLIERS, DOES NOT PROMOTE THE
19	STABLE REVENUE CONDITIONS NEEDED FOR DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH
20	LEVELS OF RENEWABLE ENERGY. THE RETAIL CCE MODEL IS EXPLICITLY
21	NOT THE SUBJECT OF THIS SECTION.
22	(VIII) A WELL-DESIGNED WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT CCE PROGRAM
23	WOULD INTRODUCE AN ELEMENT OF WHOLESALE COMPETITION AND
24	COMMUNITY-LEVEL CHOICE INTO THE SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AND COULD
25	PROVIDE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE AMBITIOUS RENEWABLE ENERGY
26	GOALS, COST-CONTAINMENT GOALS, OR BOTH, WITH A MEANS TO REACH
27	THOSE GOALS MORE QUICKLY AND COST-EFFECTIVELY.

-4- 1269

1	(IX) THIS SECTION PERTAINS ONLY TO THE STUDY OF CCE, NOT TO
2	ITS IMPLEMENTATION. WHILE CCE IN OTHER STATES SHOWS THE
3	POTENTIAL FOR COMMUNITIES TO MAKE LOCAL ENERGY DECISIONS, REACH
4	THEIR ENERGY GOALS, REDUCE ENERGY COSTS, AND FOSTER LOCAL
5	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL EMPLOYMENT, IT IS PRUDENT TO
6	FIRST STUDY THE FEASIBILITY AND THE REGULATORY, LEGAL, AND
7	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS OF CCE IN COLORADO BEFORE
8	CONSIDERING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CCE AS AN OPTION FOR
9	COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO THAT ARE SERVED BY AN INVESTOR-OWNED
10	ELECTRIC UTILITY.
11	(X) THE STUDY OF CCE AS DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION WILL
12	ANSWER KEY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE POTENTIAL VIABILITY OF CCE IN
13	COLORADO AND WILL IDENTIFY BEST PRACTICES AND LESSONS LEARNED
14	FROM THE EXPERIENCES OF STATES THAT HAVE ALREADY IMPLEMENTED
15	CCE. THE STUDY WILL PROVIDE THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO DETERMINE
16	WHETHER CCE COULD PROVIDE NET BENEFITS TO COLORADO
17	COMMUNITIES.
18	(XI) CCE, IF ENABLED IN COLORADO IN THE FUTURE, COULD
19	PROMOTE A MORE VIBRANT AND COMPETITIVE WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY
20	MARKET AND COULD ENHANCE EFFORTS TO FORM OR JOIN A REGIONAL
21	TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATION, WHICH COULD INCREASE THE FOOTPRINT
22	OF ENERGY TRADING IN THE WEST AND THEREBY REDUCE COSTS THROUGH
23	MARKET EFFICIENCY, LOWER REQUIRED RESERVE CAPACITIES, INCREASED
24	INTEGRATION OF COST-EFFECTIVE RENEWABLE ENERGY, AND DECREASED
25	CURTAILMENT OF EXCESS RENEWABLE ENERGY. A REGIONAL
26	TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATION COULD ALSO POTENTIALLY BENEFIT
27	COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATIONS AND MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC

-5- 1269

UTILITIES.

2 (b) Therefore, the general assembly declares that it is in
3 The public interest to direct the commission to evaluate the
4 Viability of the wholesale, opt-out model of CCE in Colorado
5 And to answer key questions about CCE in Colorado by
6 Conducting an investigatory docket that considers at least the
7 Topics outlined in subsection (3) of this section.

(2) **Definition.** As used in this section, "community choice energy" or "CCE" means a mechanism that allows cities, including a city and county, counties, or groups of cities and counties to combine their purchasing power and choose one or more alternative wholesale electricity suppliers on behalf of the residents, businesses, and municipal facilities in the jurisdiction while the incumbent investor-owned electric utility maintains its existing generation and continues to own and operate its transmission and distribution system and deliver the electricity to both its own customers and CCE customers.

(3) Investigatory docket. (a) On or before January 15, 2022, and in accordance with this subsection (3), the commission shall open an investigatory docket to accept testimony and documentation from stakeholders, independent energy and utility experts, regulators from states in which CCE has been implemented or is under consideration, representatives of operational CCE authorities, and other interested parties. The goal of the proceeding is to consider the regulatory implications and legal impacts of possible future CCE-enabling legislation and provide recommendations to the general

-6- 1269

1	ASSEMBLY. CONCLUSIONS SHOULD INCLUDE BEST PRACTICES AND LESSONS
2	LEARNED FROM STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED CCE AT THE WHOLESALE
3	LEVEL. THE COMMISSION SHALL EMPLOY PROCEDURES THAT PROMOTE A
4	PRODUCTIVE, EFFECTIVE, AND EVIDENCE-BASED PROCESS, INCLUDING ONE
5	OR MORE COMMISSIONERS' INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS WITH
6	PRESENTATIONS BY SUBJECT-MATTER EXPERTS.
7	(b) THE COMMISSION SHALL SOLICIT INPUT FROM A BROAD AND
8	INCLUSIVE RANGE OF STAKEHOLDERS AND PRESENTERS TO ENSURE THAT
9	THE PROCESS IS NOT DOMINATED BY ANY ONE GROUP OR VIEWPOINT.
10	STAKEHOLDERS AND PRESENTERS MAY INCLUDE:
11	(I) LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WITH DECLARED GOALS REGARDING
12	CARBON EMISSIONS OR ENERGY SUPPLY CHOICES;
13	(II) BUSINESS GROUPS;
14	(III) ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES;
15	(IV) CONSUMER ADVOCATES;
16	(V) ELECTRIC UTILITIES, INCLUDING INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC
17	UTILITIES, MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES, AND COOPERATIVE
18	ELECTRIC ASSOCIATIONS;
19	(VI) INDEPENDENT POWER PRODUCERS;
20	(VII) POWER MARKETERS;
21	(VIII) RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVELOPERS;
22	(IX) CONSULTANTS OR OTHER EXPERTS IN ENERGY PROJECT
23	FINANCING;
24	$(X)\ Consultants\ or\ other\ experts\ in\ energy\ efficiency\ and$
25	DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCES;
26	$(XI) \ Representatives \ of \ operational \ CCE \ authorities \ that$
27	USE THE WHOLESALE CCE MODEL; AND

-7- 1269

1	(XII) MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
2	(c) THE TOPICS AND QUESTIONS TO BE EXPLORED IN THE DOCKET
3	MAY INCLUDE:
4	(I) WHETHER THE COMMISSION WOULD REQUIRE ADDITIONAL
5	STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT A RULE-MAKING PROCEEDING
6	CONCERNING THE CREATION OF CCE AUTHORITIES IN COLORADO; EXCEPT
7	THAT THE COMMISSION'S DETERMINATION THAT ADDITIONAL STATUTORY
8	AUTHORITY IS NOT REQUIRED DOES NOT PRECLUDE THE GENERAL
9	ASSEMBLY FROM INCREASING OR AMENDING THE COMMISSION'S
10	STATUTORY AUTHORITY;
11	(II) THE APPROPRIATE SCOPE OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CCE
12	OPERATIONS, ON A SCALE RANGING FROM COMPREHENSIVE, AS WITH
13	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES, TO MINIMAL, AS WITH
14	MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES;
15	(III) WHICH ASPECTS, IF ANY, OF CURRENT OR ANTICIPATED
16	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY REGULATION BY THE COMMISSION
17	SHOULD APPLY TO CCE AUTHORITIES AS WELL, AND TO WHAT EXTENT,
18	INCLUDING REGULATION IN THE AREAS OF:
19	(A) RESOURCE ADEQUACY PLANNING;
20	(B) ASSURANCE OF RELIABILITY AND HOW THIS IS PAID FOR;
21	(C) COMPLIANCE WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARDS AND
22	EMISSIONS REDUCTION TARGETS;
23	(D) SUPPLEMENTAL DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS
24	OFFERED BY CCE AUTHORITIES;
25	(E) TIME-OF-USE RATES OR OTHER RATE REQUIREMENTS IF
26	MANDATED FOR INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES; AND
27	(F) STANDARDS FOR REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS;

-8- 1269

1	(IV) THE APPROPRIATE PRINCIPLES AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR
2	CALCULATING THE AMOUNT AND DURATION OF REASONABLE TRANSITION
3	FEES, ALSO KNOWN AS EXIT FEES, THAT COMMUNITIES FORMING A CCE
4	AUTHORITY WOULD PAY TO THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC
5	UTILITY TO OFFSET THEIR FAIR SHARE OF THE COSTS OF UTILITY ASSETS
6	AND CONTRACTS THAT WERE PROCURED ON THEIR BEHALF AND
7	PREVIOUSLY APPROVED, IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE COST
8	RECOVERY FOR STRANDED INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY ASSETS
9	AND CONTRACTS AND DIRECT TRANSITION COSTS WHILE PROTECTING
10	NON-CCE CUSTOMERS BUT WITHOUT UNDULY BURDENING CCE
11	CUSTOMERS. THE PRINCIPLES AND CONSIDERATIONS MAY INCLUDE:
12	(A) THE AGE OR THE DATE OF INITIAL SERVICE OF GENERATION
13	ASSETS AND EXISTING CONTRACTS;
14	(B) THE POTENTIAL FOR EXIT FEES TO VARY OVER TIME OR BY
15	LOCATION;
16	(C) THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SPECIFIC EXPIRATION PERIOD FOR
17	EXIT FEES;
18	(D) Measures to mitigate exit fees through potential
19	CONTRACT TRANSFER OR RESALE TO CCE AUTHORITIES OR OTHER BUYERS,
20	AND APPROPRIATE FORECASTING OF DEPARTING LOAD TO AVOID
21	OVER-PROCUREMENT; AND
22	(E) PITFALLS ENCOUNTERED IN OTHER STATES RELATED TO EXIT
23	FEES AND HOW THOSE PITFALLS COULD BE AVOIDED OR MITIGATED BY
24	UP-FRONT CONSIDERATION.
25	(V) THE APPROPRIATE CONDITIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND
26	PROCEDURES UNDER WHICH CUSTOMERS MAY OPT OUT OF CCE AND
2.7	RECEIVE BUNDLED SERVICE FROM THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED

-9- 1269

1	ELECTRIC UTILITY;
2	(VI) WHETHER ANY ADDITIONAL CONSUMER PROTECTIONS WOULD
3	BE REQUIRED AND THE MEANS OF PROVIDING THOSE PROTECTIONS;
4	(VII) POTENTIAL CHALLENGES FOR CCE START-UP OR CONTINUING
5	OPERATIONS, INCLUDING THE AVAILABILITY OF FINANCING AND CREDIT
6	RATING CONSIDERATIONS, AND STRATEGIES TO OVERCOME THOSE
7	CHALLENGES;
8	(VIII) WHAT REGULATORY AND LEGAL ISSUES HAVE ARISEN IN
9	OTHER STATES THAT HAVE ADOPTED THE WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT MODEL OF
10	CCE AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS FOR THOSE ISSUES;
11	(IX) WHETHER AN INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY THAT
12	REMAINS THE SOLE PROVIDER OF DISTRIBUTION, TRANSMISSION, AND
13	OTHER SERVICES TRADITIONALLY PROVIDED BY THE UTILITY, SUCH AS
14	METERING AND BILLING, SHOULD ALSO BE THE PROVIDER OF LAST RESORT
15	FOR SUPPLYING ELECTRICITY TO CUSTOMERS WHO OPT OUT OF CCE;
16	(X) The appropriate process for approval of CCE on behalf
17	OF CUSTOMERS WITHIN A JURISDICTION, WHETHER BY ORDINANCE, BY
18	VOTE OF THE PEOPLE, OR OTHERWISE;
19	(XI) WHETHER CCE AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO OFFER
20	DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT EITHER EXPAND UPON OR
21	REPLACE SUCH PROGRAMS OFFERED BY THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED
22	ELECTRIC UTILITY;
23	(XII) REGULATORY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS RELATED TO
24	FORMING CCE AUTHORITIES IN A STATE THAT DOES NOT CURRENTLY
25	BELONG TO A REGIONAL TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATION OR PARTICIPATE
26	IN A WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY MARKET, AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS,
27	INCLUDING CONSIDERATIONS IN THE AREAS OF:

-10-

1	(A) WHETHER LEGISLATION SHOULD BE ADOPTED TO GUARANTEE
2	OPEN ACCESS AND FAIR PRICES FOR TRANSMISSION SERVICES;
3	(B) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE OR ADMINISTRATIVE
4	MEASURES, OR BOTH, CONCERNING WHOLESALE MARKET ACCESS AND
5	DEVELOPMENT IN COLORADO; AND
6	(C) Whether other legislative and regulatory
7	MODIFICATIONS ARE NECESSARY TO SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT CCE IN
8	COLORADO;
9	(XIII) What, if any, minimum requirements and standards
10	SHOULD APPLY TO INDEPENDENT POWER PRODUCERS AND POWER
11	MARKETERS WHO WISH TO SUPPLY ENERGY TO A CCE AUTHORITY;
12	(XIV) What, if any, data-sharing requirements should be
13	IMPOSED ON INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO HELP ENSURE THAT
14	A CCE AUTHORITY OR A JURISDICTION INVESTIGATING WHETHER TO FORM
15	OR JOIN A CCE AUTHORITY CAN REASONABLY EVALUATE ITS FINANCIAL
16	AND TECHNICAL VIABILITY AND IMPLEMENT ITS CCE PROGRAM;
17	(XV) How CCE might facilitate or impede:
18	(A) INCREASED INTEGRATION OF DISTRIBUTED ENERGY
19	RESOURCES, SUCH AS ROOFTOP SOLAR, COMMUNITY SOLAR, AND BATTERY
20	ENERGY STORAGE INTO DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS;
21	(B) INCREASED INVESTMENT IN BENEFICIAL ELECTRIFICATION,
22	INCLUDING ELECTRIFICATION OF TRANSPORT; AND
23	(C) RESOURCE ADEQUACY AND RELIABILITY, AND WHAT
24	REGULATORY APPROACHES WOULD BE NEEDED TO MAXIMIZE POSITIVE
25	IMPACTS AND MITIGATE NEGATIVE IMPACTS;
26	(XVI) THE APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATIONS FOR ENSURING THAT
2.7	THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CCE DOES NOT INCLUDE CUSTOMERS IN THE

-11- 1269

1	CERTIFICATED TERRITORIES OF MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES
2	OR COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATIONS;
3	(XVII) THE IMPACT OF ALLOWING CCE IN COLORADO ON THE
4	ABILITY OF COLORADO TO REACH ITS CLEAN ENERGY AND GREENHOUSE
5	GAS REDUCTION GOALS AND WHAT LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY
6	REQUIREMENTS FOR CCE WOULD BE NEEDED TO FACILITATE REACHING
7	THOSE GOALS;
8	(XVIII) THE IMPACT, BOTH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE, OF CCE IN
9	COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE FORMED OR JOINED A CCE AUTHORITY IN
10	STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED THE WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT MODEL OF CCE;
11	(XIX) THE IMPACT OF CCE ON LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND
12	COMMUNITIES DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED BY ELECTRICITY
13	GENERATION, INCLUDING THE AVAILABILITY OF LOW-INCOME PROGRAMS
14	OFFERED THROUGH THE INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY TO CCE
15	CUSTOMERS AND THE ABILITY OF CCE AUTHORITIES TO ESTABLISH
16	ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS TO ASSIST LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND
17	COMMUNITIES DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED BY ELECTRICITY
18	GENERATION;
19	(XX) THE RISKS A CCE AUTHORITY MIGHT FACE THAT MERIT
20	CONSIDERATION, SUCH AS RESOURCE PRICE RISKS, CONTRACT RISKS, OR
21	LOAD DEFECTION, AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THOSE RISKS;
22	(XXI) THE IMPACT OF CCE ON JOBS IN THE ELECTRICITY SECTOR;
23	AND
24	(XXII) WHAT OPTIONS, INCLUDING PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS,
25	WOULD ENSURE THAT NEW ENERGY PROJECTS BUILT TO SUPPLY CCE
26	AUTHORITIES ARE CONSTRUCTED USING UNION LABOR.
2.7	(d) THE COMMISSION SHALL SUMMARIZE ITS FINDINGS.

-12- 1269

1	CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE INVESTIGATORY
2	DOCKET IN A FINAL REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE TRANSPORTATION AND
3	ENERGY COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE AND THE ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
4	COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR
5	COMMITTEES, AND POSTED ON THE COMMISSION'S PUBLIC WEBSITE. THE
6	COMMISSION SHALL SUBMIT THE REPORT ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 15
7	2022. RECOMMENDATIONS MAY BE SPLIT INTO MAJORITY VIEWS AND
8	DISSENTING VIEWS IF NECESSARY.
9	(4) Repeal. This section is repealed, effective September 1
10	2024.
11	SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds
12	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
13	preservation of the public peace, health, or safety.

-13- 1269