

Colorado Legislative Council Staff

HB17-1166

FINAL FISCAL NOTE

FISCAL IMPACT: ☑ State ☑ Local ☐ Statutory Public Entity ☐ Conditional ☐ No Fiscal Impact

Sen. Grantham Fiscal Analyst: Erin Reynolds (303-866-4146)

BILL TOPIC: ACCESS BETWEEN HIGHWAYS & ADJOINING BUSINESSES

| Fiscal Impact Summary | FY 2016-2017 (current year) | FY 2017-2018 | FY 2018-2019 |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| State Revenue | | | |
| State Expenditures | Indeterminate increase. See State Expenditures section. | | |
| Federal Funds | | | |
| General Fund | | | |
| Highway Users Tax Fund | | | |
| Appropriation Required: None. | | | |
| Future Year Impacts: Ongoing indeterminate expenditure increase. | | | |

NOTE: This bill was not enacted into law; therefore, the impacts identified in this analysis do not take effect.

Summary of Legislation

Under current law, the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) and local governments are authorized to regulate vehicular access to any state highway under their jurisdiction in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare; maintain smooth traffic flow; maintain highway right-of-way drainage; and to protect the functional level of public highways. This authorization is codified in the State Highway Access Code, as adopted by the Transportation Commission. The introduced bill adds to the list of regulatory authority:

- · convenience, and
- the provision of reasonable access to and from public highways and adjoining businesses.

As such, if CDOT or a local government denies a reasonable access variance to or from a business adjoining a divided state highway, the denial may amount to a taking under eminent domain law requiring just compensation as determined by the courts.

Background

All Colorado highways are considered "controlled-access highways." While adjacent properties have no right of state highway access except at points determined by CDOT, the State Highway Access Code entitles reasonable access to the general street system for these properties. There is a substantial body of case law related to highway access, which has created certain tests to determine whether or not compensation may be sought in litigation. Property owners may also request an administrative hearing to review appropriateness of a CDOT action. The Transportation Commission or its proxy hears about five appeals annually. CDOT has not gone to litigation related to an access issue in over seven years, having been able to resolve all discussions with property owners in that time period.

State Expenditures

Beginning in FY 2016-17, the bill will create a potential expenditure increase for CDOT and the Department of Law. This increase comes from the following potential expenditures under the bill:

- just compensation, as determined by a court; and
- · access-related road construction and right-of-way costs.

The fiscal note assumes that expenditures for just compensation would be paid by the Highway Users Tax Fund to an extent and, if past a certain threshold, would be addressed through the annual budget process and likely require General Fund. Road construction costs may be paid through Highway Users Tax Fund and flexible federal funds.

Local Government Impact

Local governments will also have potential expenditure increases similar to CDOT beginning in FY 2016-17. To the extent that a local government is required to create new access points and purchase rights-of-way, its transportation dollars will be diverted from other projects.

Effective Date

The bill was postponed indefinitely by the House Transportation and Energy Committee on February 22, 2017.

State and Local Government Contacts

Counties Law Municipalities Transportation