

## Colorado Legislative Council Staff

### HB16-1422

# REVISED FISCAL NOTE

(replaces fiscal note dated March 28, 2016)

FISCAL IMPACT: 
☐ State ☐ Local ☐ Statutory Public Entity ☐ Conditional ☐ No Fiscal Impact

Drafting Number: LLS 16-1208 Date: March 29, 2016
Prime Sponsor(s): Rep. Hamner; Rankin Bill Status: House Appropriations

Sen. Lambert; Steadman Fiscal Analyst: Marc Carey (303-866-4102)

**BILL TOPIC: FINANCING PUBLIC SCHOOLS** 

Fiscal Impact Summary	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018								
State Revenue										
State Expenditures										
General Fund	\$544,797	\$544,797								
FTE Position Change										
Appropriation Required: \$544,797 - Department of Education (FY2016-17)										
Future Year Impacts: Ongoing expenditure impa										

#### **Summary of Legislation**

This bill, changes the "Public School Finance Act of 1994" by modifying the funding for K-12 public schools in FY 2016-17.

**Total Program Funding**. The bill increases base per pupil funding to \$6,367.90, to reflect a 1.2 percent inflation rate. The bill also makes the following changes in the calculation of total program:

- for FY 2016-17, holds the negative factor at the FY 2015-16 level; and
- specifies that for FY 2017-18, the negative factor cannot exceed the FY 2016-17 level.

**Minimum Funded Pupil Count.** Under current law, if a school district's funded pupil count is fewer than 50 pupils, the district receives funding for 50 pupils. The bill establishes the following graduated minimum funded pupil count for small districts:

- 25 pupils for districts with a funded pupil count of 19 or fewer pupils;
- 40 pupils for districts with a funded pupil count between 20 and 34 pupils; and
- 50 pupils for districts with a funded pupil count between 35 and 50 pupils.

**Size Factor Adjustment.** Current law includes a graduated size factor for districts with a funded pupil count of less than 4,023. This bill adjusts the size factor by increasing the graduated range to include districts with a funded pupil count of less than 5,000. The bill also increases the factor for districts with between 2,293 and 5,000 pupils.

Categorical Buyout Penalty. Current law requires school districts that are sufficiently locally funded such that they are unable to fully implement the negative factor to use local property tax revenue to "buy-out" any categorical program support received from the state. This bill requires the buy-out to occur by the end of the fiscal year in which the district received the support. If unpaid, the Commissioner of Education is required to withhold the amount due, with interest, from any state money due to the district for any reason, beginning in the following fiscal year. The commissioner may waive accrued interest upon payment of the amount due from the district.

**Total Program Reserve Fund.** Under current law, if a school district's total program mill levy generates more revenue than the districts total program and categorical buyout requirements, the mill levy must fall. In such years, this bill authorizes the district to hold its total program mill levy constant. The additional revenue generated must be deposited in the district's newly created total program reserve fund. Money in the fund may only be used to replace state aid lost through application of the negative factor.

**BEST funding for improvements**. Under current law, the Public School Capital Construction Assistance Board may provide matching grants to schools or school districts for capital construction on school facilities owned by the school or district or covered by a lease-purchase agreement. This bill permits the Board to award matching grants to schools or districts for a public school that is operated or will operate in a qualified, state-owned facility leased to the school or district.

**Supplemental Assistance from Contingency Reserve Fund.** The bill permits the state to provide a loan from the contingency reserve fund to help districts that, because of a significant decline in their assessed values, must implement the full negative factor when the district did not receive state aid in the previous fiscal year. Such loans must be repaid by June 30 of the fiscal year following the year in which the loan was provided.

**Facility School Funding.** The bill clarifies that facility schools are to receive funding based on pupil enrollment multiplied by an amount equal to 1.73 of the statewide base per pupil funding, rather than the state average per pupil revenue, for the applicable budget year.

#### **State Expenditures**

The bill is expected to increase state expenditures by \$544,797 in FY 2016-17 and in subsequent years.

**Total Program Funding.** House Bill 16-1422 sets total program funding for FY 2016-17 at \$6.396 billion. This represents a 11.51 percent reduction from what funding levels would have been without the negative factor. The appendix details the funding changes that occur at the school district level as a result of this bill.

The \$6.396 billion in total program funding represents an estimated increase of \$156.8 million in FY 2016-17 compared with actual funding levels for FY 2015-16, and increases formula funding by \$544,797 for FY 2016-17 relative to current law. State expenditures for school finance will increase by \$544,797, with local funding remaining unchanged. Since the negative factor is set at 11.51 percent, each district's total program funding will be reduced by 11.51 percent from the level set by the funding formula before application of the negative factor, provided the district receives at least an amount of state aid that is greater than this percentage reduction. Table 1 shows the estimate of school finance act funding under House Bill 16-1422.

Ta	Table 1. School Finance Act Funding under House Bill 16-1422											
	Pupil Count	Per Pupil Funding	Total Program Funding	State Aid	Local Share							
FY 2015-16 Act.	853,251	\$7,313	\$6,239,564,775	\$3,979,778,973	\$2,259,785,802							
FY 2016-17 Est.	861,431	\$7,425	\$6,396,330,348	\$4,115,547,639	\$2,280,782,709							
Percent change	0.96%	1.53%	2.51%	3.41%	0.93%							
Increase	8,180	\$112	\$156,765,573	\$135,768,666	\$20,996,907							

**Negative Factor in FY 2017-18.** The bill specifies that the negative factor in FY 2017-18 may not exceed the level set in FY 2016-17. Current law does not define the negative factor in FY 2017-18, but this fiscal note assumes that the negative factor remains at the FY 2016-17 level.

*Minimum Funded Pupil Count.* Implementation of this provision reduced both total program and state aid by \$174,929. There are five districts that are funded at the minimum pupil count of 50: Pritchett and Campo in Baca County, Agate in Elbert County, Kim in Las Animas County, and Karval in Lincoln County. In FY 2016-17, under House Bill 16-1422, the funded pupil count for Agate will drop to 40 and the other four districts will continue to be funded at 50.

**Size Factor Adjustment.** Implementation of the size factor adjustments specified in the bill will increase total program and state aid by \$719,726 in FY 2016-17, relative to current law. Fourteen districts currently have a funded pupil count between 2,293 and 5,000 and would thus receive addition funding under this provision.

#### **School District Impact**

Table 1 above shows the estimate of school finance act funding under House Bill 16-1422. The table compares estimated FY 2016-17 funding levels with actual funding in the prior year. In FY 2016-17, the bill increases funding by \$112 per pupil and funds 8,180 new pupils. Total program funding increases from \$6.240 billion to \$6.396 billion. Of this amount, the state's share increases by \$136 million, from \$3.980 billion to \$4.115 billion. The appendix to this fiscal note contains a district-by-district overview of the school finance impacts of House Bill 16-1422, relative to current law.

In FY 2015-16, there are 4 districts whose total program mill levy declined because they generated more revenue than the sum of the district's total program and categorical buyout requirements. This bill will effectively hold the mill levy constant for districts in similar circumstances starting in FY 2016-17, and require the additional revenue generated to be deposited in a newly created total program reserve fund. For informational purposes only, Table 2 presents data on districts that would have been impacted by this provision in FY 2015-16 if it had been effective that year.

á	Table 2. Districts with Declining Total Program Mill Levies and Estimated Deposits in the District's Total Program Reserve											
FY 2014-15 FY 2015-16 Amount District Mill Levy Mill Levy Difference Reserve F												
Genoa-Hugo	22.809	17.087	5.722	\$718,718								
Wiggins	25.885	24.545	1.342	\$60,589								
Platte Valley	6.181	5.624	0.557	\$921,370								
Prairie	8.597	5.145	3.452	1,671,565								
TOTAL				\$3,372,242								

<sup>\*</sup> For informational purposes only.

Pursuant to Section 22-32-143, C.R.S., school districts and Boards of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) may submit estimates of fiscal impacts within seven days of a bill's introduction. As of the date of this fiscal note, no summaries of fiscal impacts were submitted by districts or BOCES for this bill. If summaries of fiscal impacts are submitted by districts or BOCES in the future, they will be noted in subsequent revisions to the fiscal note and posted at this address: http://www.colorado.gov/lcs.

#### **Effective Date**

The bill takes effect upon signature of the Governor, or upon becoming law without his signature.

#### **State Appropriations**

For FY 2016-17, the bill requires the following General Fund appropriation:

• \$544,797 to the Department of Education for the state's share of total program.

#### **State and Local Government Contacts**

Education Legislative Council Staff Joint Budget Committee Staff

Appendix A
School Finance Funding Change, FY 2016-17 Under Current Law vs. HB16-1422

			FY 2016	FY 2016-17 Under Current Law			6-17 Under HB	16-1422	Change from Current Law		
	County	District	Funded	Total Program	Total	Funded	Total Program	Total	Funded	Total Program	Total
	•		Pupil	after Negative	Funding	Pupil	after Negative	Funding	Pupil	after Negative	Funding
			Count		Per Pupil	Count		Per Pupil	Count	Factor	Per Pupil
1	ADAMS	MAPLETON	8,298	\$61,592,138	\$7,422	8,298	\$61,592,744	\$7,422	-	\$607	\$0
2	ADAMS	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR	42,204	\$306,570,292	\$7,264	42,204	\$306,573,312	\$7,264	-	\$3,020	\$0
3	ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY	8,120	\$63,419,938	\$7,810	8,120	\$63,420,563	\$7,811	-	\$625	\$0
4	ADAMS	BRIGHTON	17,195	\$123,035,873	\$7,155	17,195	\$123,037,085	\$7,155	-	\$1,212	\$0
5	ADAMS	BENNETT	1,030	\$7,926,344	\$7,694	1,030	\$7,926,423	\$7,694	-	\$78	\$0
6	ADAMS	STRASBURG	971	\$7,437,701	\$7,659	971	\$7,437,775	\$7,659	-	\$73	\$0
7	ADAMS	WESTMINSTER	10,580	\$81,429,144	\$7,697	10,580	\$81,429,946	\$7,697	-	\$802	\$0
8	ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	2,287	\$16,265,189	\$7,112	2,287	\$16,265,349	\$7,112	-	\$160	\$0
9	ALAMOSA	SANGRE DE CRISTO	304	\$2,941,697	\$9,667	304	\$2,941,726	\$9,667	-	\$29	\$0
10	ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD	2,710	\$20,711,625	\$7,643	2,710	\$20,753,334	\$7,658	-	\$41,709	\$15
11	ARAPAHOE	SHERIDAN	1,417	\$12,181,719	\$8,600	1,417	\$12,181,839	\$8,600	-	\$120	\$0
12	ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK	51,656	\$381,401,336	\$7,383	51,656	\$381,405,094	\$7,384	-	\$3,757	\$0
13	ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON	14,891	\$106,477,858	\$7,150	14,891	\$106,478,907	\$7,150	-	\$1,049	\$0
14	ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	167	\$2,260,547	\$13,520	167	\$2,260,569	\$13,520	-	\$22	\$0
15	ARAPAHOE	AURORA	40,304	\$311,056,941	\$7,718	40,304	\$311,060,006	\$7,718	-	\$3,064	\$0
16	ARAPAHOE	BYERS	3,348	\$23,994,927	\$7,167	3,348	\$24,016,670	\$7,174	-	\$21,743	\$6
17	ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	1,393	\$10,563,804	\$7,586	1,393	\$10,563,908	\$7,586	-	\$104	\$0
18	BACA	WALSH	143	\$1,841,468	\$12,923	143	\$1,841,486	\$12,923	-	\$18	\$0
19	BACA	PRITCHETT	50	\$746,932	\$14,939	50	\$746,939	\$14,939	-	\$7	\$0
20	BACA	SPRINGFIELD	269	\$2,694,440	\$10,009	269	\$2,694,467	\$10,009	-	\$27	\$0
21	BACA	VILAS	61	\$771,667	\$12,734	61	\$771,674	\$12,734	-	\$8	\$0
22	BACA	CAMPO	50	\$742,942	\$14,859	50	\$742,949	\$14,859	-	\$7	\$0
23	BENT	LAS ANIMAS	495	\$3,960,764	\$7,998	495	\$3,960,803	\$7,998	-	\$39	\$0
24	BENT	MCCLAVE	252	\$2,537,677	\$10,090	252	\$2,537,702	\$10,090	-	\$25	\$0
25	BOULDER	ST VRAIN	30,253	\$219,670,259	\$7,261	30,253	\$219,672,423	\$7,261	-	\$2,164	\$0
26	BOULDER	BOULDER	30,034	\$220,843,381	\$7,353	30,034	\$220,845,556	\$7,353	-	\$2,176	\$0
27	CHAFFEE	BUENA VISTA	953	\$7,233,971	\$7,595	953	\$7,234,042	\$7,595	-	\$71	\$0
28	CHAFFEE	SALIDA	1,213	\$8,887,908	\$7,328	1,213	\$8,887,996	\$7,328	-	\$88	\$0
29	CHEYENNE	KIT CARSON	120	\$1,585,157	\$13,265	120	\$1,585,173	\$13,265	-	\$16	\$0
30	CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	177	\$2,201,262	\$12,472	177	\$2,201,284	\$12,472	-	\$22	\$0
310	CLEAR CREEK	CLEAR CREEK	831	\$7,379,248	\$8,877	831	\$7,379,248	\$8,877	-	\$0	\$0

			FY 2016-17 Under Current Law			FY 201	16-17 Under HB	16-1422	Change from Current Law			
	County	District	Funded	<b>Total Program</b>	Total	Funded	<b>Total Program</b>	Total	Funded	<b>Total Program</b>	Total	
				after Negative		Pupil	after Negative			after Negative		
			Count		Per Pupil	Count		Per Pupil	Count		Per Pupil	
32	CONEJOS	NORTH CONEJOS	1,003	\$7,418,311	\$7,398	1,003	\$7,418,384	\$7,398	-	\$73	\$0	
33	CONEJOS	SANFORD	371	\$3,290,924	\$8,866	371	\$3,290,957	\$8,866	-	\$32	\$0	
34	CONEJOS	SOUTH CONEJOS	215	\$2,605,015	\$12,094	215	\$2,605,041	\$12,094	-	\$26	\$0	
35	COSTILLA	CENTENNIAL	225	\$2,558,915	\$11,398	225	\$2,558,940	\$11,398	-	\$25	\$0	
36	COSTILLA	SIERRA GRANDE	299	\$2,998,652	\$10,022	299	\$2,998,682	\$10,022	-	\$30	\$0	
37	CROWLEY	CROWLEY	453	\$3,757,563	\$8,291	453	\$3,757,600	\$8,291	-	\$37	\$0	
38	CUSTER	WESTCLIFFE	365	\$3,359,689	\$9,205	365	\$3,359,722	\$9,205	-	\$33	\$0	
39	DELTA	DELTA	4,767	\$34,227,527	\$7,179	4,767	\$34,294,306	\$7,194	-	\$66,779	\$14	
40	DENVER	DENVER	86,552	\$669,186,076	\$7,732	86,552	\$669,192,668	\$7,732	_	\$6,592	\$0	
41	DOLORES	DOLORES	269	\$2,832,957	\$10,547	269	\$2,832,985	\$10,547	_	\$28	\$0	
42	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS	63,575	\$455,357,907	\$7,163	63,575	\$455,362,393	\$7,163	-	\$4,486	\$0	
43	EAGLE	EAGLE	6,999	\$54,008,816	\$7,717	6,999	\$54,009,348	\$7,717	-	\$532	\$0	
44	ELBERT	ELIZABETH	2,374	\$17,339,765	\$7,303	2,374	\$17,346,522	\$7,306	-	\$6,758	\$3	
45	ELBERT	KIOWA	287	\$2,995,562	\$10,427	287	\$2,995,591	\$10,427	_	\$30	\$0	
46	ELBERT	BIG SANDY	281	\$2,940,107	\$10,459	281	\$2,940,136	\$10,459	_	\$29	\$0	
47	ELBERT	ELBERT	202	\$2,486,700	\$12,304	202	\$2,486,725	\$12,304	_	\$24	\$0	
48	ELBERT	AGATE	50	\$785,084	\$15,702	40	\$641,610	\$16,040	(10.0)	-\$143,473	\$339	
49	EL PASO	CALHAN	510	\$4,306,037	\$8,447	510	\$4,306,079	\$8,447		\$42	\$0	
50	EL PASO	HARRISON	11,918	\$89,564,033	\$7,515	11,918	\$89,564,916	\$7,515	_	\$882	\$0	
51	EL PASO	WIDEFIELD	8,973	\$63,265,923	\$7,051	8,973	\$63,274,878	\$7,052	_	\$8,955	\$1	
52	EL PASO	FOUNTAIN	7,735	\$54,537,777	\$7,051	7,735	\$54,545,500	\$7,052	_	\$7,723	\$1	
53	EL PASO	COLORADO SPRINGS	30,000	\$219,935,348	\$7,331	30,000	\$219,937,514	\$7,331	_	\$2,167	\$0	
54	EL PASO (	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	4,853	\$34,219,643	\$7,051	4,853	\$34,224,489	\$7,052	_	\$4,846	\$1	
55	EL PASO	MANITOU SPRINGS	1,438	\$10,801,176	\$7,513	1,438	\$10,801,282	\$7,513	_	\$106	\$0	
56	EL PASO	ACADEMY	23,886	\$168,264,636	\$7,044	23,886	\$168,287,893	\$7,045	_	\$23,257	\$1	
57	EL PASO	ELLICOTT	994	\$7,904,056	\$7,954	994	\$7,904,134	\$7,954	_	\$78	\$0	
58	EL PASO	PEYTON	646	\$5,260,594	\$8,146	646	\$5,260,646	\$8,146	_	\$52	\$0	
59	EL PASO	HANOVER	254	\$2,853,586	\$11,257	254	\$2,853,614	\$11,257	_	\$28	\$0	
60	EL PASO	LEWIS-PALMER	6,155	\$43,402,466	\$7,051	6,155	\$43,408,613	\$7,052	_	\$6,147	<b>\$</b> 1	
61	EL PASO	FALCON	22,216	\$157,437,598	\$7,087	22,216	\$157,439,148	\$7,087	_	\$1,551	\$0	
62	EL PASO	EDISON	189	\$2,308,391	\$12,233	189	\$2,308,414	\$12,233	_	\$23	\$0	
63	EL PASO	MIAMI-YODER	271	\$2,886,310	\$10,670	271	\$2,886,338	\$10,670	_	\$28	\$0	
64	FREMONT	CANON CITY	3,714	\$26,186,171	\$7,051	3,714	\$26,189,880	\$7,052	_	\$3,708	\$1	
65	FREMONT	FLORENCE	1,395	\$10,190,123	\$7,305	1,395	\$10,190,223	\$7,305	-	\$100	\$0	

	11		FY 2016-17 Under Current Law				I6-17 Under HB	16-1422	Change from Current Law		
	County	District		Total Program after Negative	Funding	Funded Pupil	Total Program after Negative			Total Program after Negative	Total Funding
			Count		Per Pupil	Count		Per Pupil	Count		Per Pupil
66	FREMONT	COTOPAXI	200	\$2,363,520	\$11,824	200	\$2,363,543	\$11,824	-	\$23	\$0
67	GARFIELD	ROARING FORK	5,980	\$45,809,424	\$7,660	5,980	\$45,809,875	\$7,660	-	\$451	\$0
68	GARFIELD	RIFLE	4,753	\$34,188,802	\$7,193	4,753	\$34,262,185	\$7,209	-	\$73,383	\$15
69	GARFIELD	PARACHUTE	1,088	\$8,582,858	\$7,892	1,088	\$8,582,943	\$7,892	-	\$85	\$0
70	GILPIN	GILPIN	406	\$3,733,973	\$9,202	406	\$3,734,009	\$9,202	-	\$37	\$0
71	GRAND	WEST GRAND	442	\$3,928,854	\$8,881	442	\$3,928,893	\$8,881	-	\$39	\$0
72	GRAND	EAST GRAND	1,236	\$9,280,866	\$7,507	1,236	\$9,280,958	\$7,507	-	\$91	\$0
73	GUNNISON	GUNNISON	1,922	\$14,185,768	\$7,380	1,922	\$14,185,908	\$7,380	-	\$140	\$0
74	HINSDALE	HINSDALE	101	\$1,509,352	\$14,989	101	\$1,509,367	\$14,989	-	\$15	\$0
75	HUERFANO	HUERFANO	515	\$4,205,899	\$8,172	515	\$4,205,940	\$8,172	-	\$41	\$0
76	HUERFANO	LA VETA	209	\$2,362,975	\$11,322	209	\$2,362,999	\$11,322	-	\$23	\$0
77	JACKSON	NORTH PARK	183	\$2,329,864	\$12,738	183	\$2,329,887	\$12,739	_	\$23	\$0
78	JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON	81,405	\$589,110,212	\$7,237	81,405	\$589,116,015	\$7,237	-	\$5,803	\$0
79	KIOWA	EADS	155	\$1,909,143	\$12,285	155	\$1,909,162	\$12,285	_	\$19	\$0
80	KIOWA	PLAINVIEW	64	\$909,584	\$14,234	64	\$909,593	\$14,235	_	\$9	\$0
81	KIT CARSON	ARRIBA-FLAGLER	165	\$2,017,811	\$12,251	165	\$2,017,830	\$12,252	_	\$20	\$0
82	KIT CARSON	HI PLAINS	112	\$1,470,963	\$13,181	112	\$1,470,978	\$13,181	_	\$14	\$0
83	KIT CARSON	STRATTON	187	\$2,176,961	\$11,654	187	\$2,176,983	\$11,654	_	\$21	\$0
84	KIT CARSON	BETHUNE	115	\$1,565,344	\$13,565	115	\$1,565,360	\$13,565	_	\$15	\$0
85	KIT CARSON	BURLINGTON	715	\$5,426,675	\$7,595	715	\$5,426,728	\$7,595	_	\$53	\$0
86	LAKE	LAKE	998	\$7,885,841	\$7,902	998	\$7,885,919	\$7,903	_	\$78	\$0
87	LA PLATA	DURANGO	4,994	\$36,492,687	\$7,307	4,994	\$36,496,583	\$7,308	_	\$3,896	\$1
88	LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	1,328	\$10,170,900	\$7,662	1,328	\$10,171,001	\$7,662	_	\$100	\$0
89	LA PLATA	IGNACIO	861	\$7,009,051	\$8,141	861	\$7,009,120	\$8,141	_	\$69	\$0
90	LARIMER	POUDRE	29,820	\$210,218,016	\$7,050	29,820	\$210,247,610	\$7,051	_	\$29,594	\$1
91	LARIMER	THOMPSON	15,066	\$106,228,393	\$7,051	15,066	\$106,243,422	\$7,052	_	\$15,029	\$1
92	LARIMER	ESTES PARK	1,073	\$8,356,739	\$7,791	1,073	\$8,356,821	\$7,791	_	\$82	\$0
93	LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	1,087	\$8,554,312	\$7,871	1,087	\$8,554,396	\$7,871	_	\$84	\$0
94	LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	188	\$2,251,087	\$12,006	188	\$2,251,110	\$12,006	_	\$22	\$0
95	LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	354	\$3,221,308	\$9,107	354	\$3,221,339	\$9,108	_	\$32	\$0
96	LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	111	\$1,541,416	\$13,924	111	\$1,541,431	\$13,924	_	\$15	\$0
97	LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	443	\$3,109,879	\$7,018	443	\$3,109,909	\$7,019	_	\$31	\$0
98	LAS ANIMAS	KIM	50	\$701,077	\$14,022	50	\$701,084	\$14,022	_	\$7	\$0
99	LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	161	\$2,137,448	\$13,243	161	\$2,137,448	\$13,243	-	\$0	\$0

	1		FY 2016	-17 Under Curr	ent Law	FY 201	16-17 Under HB	16-1422	Change from Current Law		
	County	District		Total Program		Funded	Total Program	Total		Total Program	Total
			Count	after Negative Factor	Per Pupil	Pupil Count	after Negative Factor	Funding Per Pupil		after Negative Factor	Funding Per Pupil
100	LINCOLN	LIMON	495	\$3,971,198	\$8,021	495	\$3,971,237	\$8,021	-	\$39	\$0
101	LINCOLN	KARVAL	50	\$744,545	\$14,891	50	\$744,552	\$14,891	-	\$7	\$0
102	LOGAN	VALLEY	2,186	\$15,622,607	\$7,145	2,186	\$15,622,761	\$7,145	-	\$154	\$0
103	LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	186	\$2,258,750	\$12,118	186	\$2,258,772	\$12,118	-	\$22	\$0
104	LOGAN	BUFFALO	311	\$2,992,430	\$9,610	311	\$2,992,459	\$9,610	-	\$29	\$0
105	LOGAN	PLATEAU	171	\$2,119,349	\$12,372	171	\$2,119,370	\$12,372	-	\$21	\$0
106	MESA	DEBEQUE	151	\$1,960,211	\$13,007	151	\$1,960,231	\$13,008	-	\$19	\$0
107	MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	446	\$3,682,323	\$8,262	446	\$3,682,360	\$8,262	-	\$36	\$0
108	MESA	MESA VALLEY	21,742	\$153,303,014	\$7,051	21,742	\$153,324,714	\$7,052	-	\$21,700	\$1
109	MINERAL	CREEDE	83	\$1,232,841	\$14,871	83	\$1,232,853	\$14,872	-	\$12	\$0
110	MOFFAT	MOFFAT	2,086	\$14,707,209	\$7,051	2,086	\$14,709,291	\$7,052	-	\$2,082	\$1
111	MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	2,674	\$18,950,492	\$7,087	2,674	\$18,984,995	\$7,100	-	\$34,504	\$13
112	MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	729	\$5,734,684	\$7,865	729	\$5,734,741	\$7,866	-	\$56	\$0
113	MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	469	\$3,885,966	\$8,287	469	\$3,886,005	\$8,287	-	\$38	\$0
114	MONTROSE	MONTROSE	6,208	\$45,662,559	\$7,355	6,208	\$45,663,009	\$7,355	-	\$450	\$0
115	MONTROSE	WEST END	266	\$3,174,639	\$11,953	266	\$3,174,670	\$11,953	-	\$31	\$0
116	MORGAN	BRUSH	1,448	\$10,952,304	\$7,566	1,448	\$10,952,412	\$7,566	-	\$108	\$0
117	MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	2,958	\$22,060,618	\$7,459	2,958	\$22,130,555	\$7,483	-	\$69,936	\$24
118	MORGAN	WELDON	226	\$2,610,959	\$11,579	226	\$2,610,985	\$11,579	-	\$26	\$0
119	MORGAN	WIGGINS	530	\$4,324,677	\$8,161	530	\$4,324,719	\$8,161	-	\$43	\$0
120	OTERO	EAST OTERO	1,312	\$10,295,782	\$7,845	1,312	\$10,295,883	\$7,845	-	\$101	\$0
121	OTERO	ROCKY FORD	788	\$6,490,272	\$8,232	788	\$6,490,336	\$8,232	-	\$64	\$0
122	OTERO	MANZANOLA	133	\$1,842,507	\$13,874	133	\$1,842,525	\$13,874	-	\$18	\$0
123	OTERO	FOWLER	398	\$3,492,292	\$8,777	398	\$3,492,327	\$8,777	-	\$34	\$0
124	OTERO	CHERAW	201	\$2,379,919	\$11,840	201	\$2,379,943	\$11,841	-	\$23	\$0
125	OTERO	SWINK	367	\$3,333,922	\$9,079	367	\$3,333,955	\$9,079	-	\$33	\$0
126	OURAY	OURAY	175	\$2,438,172	\$13,956	175	\$2,438,196	\$13,956	-	\$24	\$0
127	OURAY	RIDGWAY	337	\$3,461,139	\$10,258	337	\$3,461,173	\$10,258	-	\$34	\$0
128	PARK	PLATTE CANYON	983	\$7,731,701	\$7,867	983	\$7,731,777	\$7,867	-	\$76	\$0
129	PARK	PARK	569	\$4,774,567	\$8,397	569	\$4,774,614	\$8,397	-	\$47	\$0
130	PHILLIPS	HOLYOKE	608	\$4,747,909	\$7,814	608	\$4,747,956	\$7,814	-	\$47	\$0
131	PHILLIPS	HAXTUN	302	\$2,782,302	\$9,219	302	\$2,782,330	\$9,219	-	\$27	\$0
132	PITKIN	ASPEN	1,667	\$15,969,551	\$9,579	1,667	\$15,969,709	\$9,579	-	\$157	\$0
133	<b>PROWERS</b>	GRANADA	201	\$2,298,512	\$11,452	201	\$2,298,535	\$11,453	-	\$23	\$0

	18		FY 2016	-17 Under Curr	ent Law	FY 201	I6-17 Under HB	16-1422	Cha	Change from Current Law		
	County	District		Total Program after Negative Factor		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program after Negative Factor	Total Funding Per Pupil	Pupil	Total Program after Negative Factor	Total Funding Per Pupil	
134	PROWERS	LAMAR	1,509	\$11,276,865	\$7,474	1,509	\$11,276,976	\$7,474	-	\$111	\$0	
135	PROWERS	HOLLY	274	\$2,652,609	\$9,681	274	\$2,652,635	\$9,681	-	\$26	\$0	
136	PROWERS		235	\$2,487,944	\$10,596	235	\$2,487,969	\$10,596	-	\$25	\$0	
137	PUEBLO	PUEBLO CITY	17,058	\$126,029,461	\$7,389	17,058	\$126,030,702	\$7,389	-	\$1,242	\$0	
138	PUEBLO	PUEBLO RURAL	9,535	\$67,232,628	\$7,051	9,535	\$67,242,141	\$7,052	-	\$9,513	\$1	
139	RIO BLANCO	MEEKER	645	\$4,968,644	\$7,707	645	\$4,968,693	\$7,707	-	\$49	\$0	
140	RIO BLANCO	RANGELY	511	\$4,005,421	\$7,832	511	\$4,005,460	\$7,832	-	\$39	\$0	
141	RIO GRANDE	DEL NORTE	448	\$3,690,412	\$8,234	448	\$3,690,449	\$8,234	-	\$36	\$0	
142	<b>RIO GRANDE</b>	MONTE VISTA	1,140	\$8,651,982	\$7,592	1,140	\$8,652,067	\$7,592	-	\$85	\$0	
143	RIO GRANDE	SARGENT	434	\$3,520,427	\$8,108	434	\$3,520,462	\$8,108	-	\$35	\$0	
144	ROUTT	HAYDEN	371	\$3,603,731	\$9,711	371	\$3,603,767	\$9,711	-	\$36	\$0	
145		STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	2,642	\$19,609,200	\$7,424	2,642	\$19,643,057	\$7,436	-	\$33,857	\$13	
146	ROUTT	SOUTH ROUTT	355	\$3,542,961	\$9,986	355	\$3,542,996	\$9,986	-	\$35	\$0	
147	SAGUACHE	MOUNTAIN VALLEY	126	\$1,716,153	\$13,631	126	\$1,716,170	\$13,631	-	\$17	\$0	
148	SAGUACHE		193	\$2,624,616	\$13,578	193	\$2,624,642	\$13,578	-	\$26	\$0	
149	SAGUACHE		650	\$5,493,747	\$8,449	650	\$5,493,801	\$8,449	-	\$54	\$0	
150	SAN JUAN	SILVERTON	70	\$1,111,158	\$15,783	70	\$1,111,169	\$15,784	-	\$11	\$0	
151	SAN MIGUEL	TELLURIDE	944	\$9,265,700	\$9,812	944	\$9,265,792	\$9,812	-	\$91	\$0	
152	SAN MIGUEL	NORWOOD	265	\$2,952,325	\$11,158	265	\$2,952,354	\$11,158	-	\$29	\$0	
153	SEDGWICK		627	\$4,609,088	\$7,352	627	\$4,609,133	\$7,352	-	\$45	\$0	
154	SEDGWICK		130	\$1,743,543	\$13,391	130	\$1,743,560	\$13,391	-	\$17	\$0	
155	SUMMIT	SUMMIT	3,375	\$26,008,814	\$7,705	3,375	\$26,144,312	\$7,746	-	\$135,498	\$40	
156	TELLER		345	\$3,312,789	\$9,611	345	\$3,312,822	\$9,611	-	\$33	\$0	
157	TELLER		2,385	\$16,986,763	\$7,124	2,385	\$16,994,991	\$7,127	-	\$8,228	\$3	
	WASHINGTON	AKRON	335	\$3,184,473	\$9,512	335	\$3,184,505	\$9,512	-	\$31	\$0	
	WASHINGTON		105	\$1,460,397	\$13,935	105	\$1,460,411	\$13,935	-	\$14	\$0	
	WASHINGTON	OTIS	224	\$2,553,296	\$11,394	224	\$2,553,321	\$11,394	-	\$25	\$0	
	WASHINGTON	LONE STAR	107	\$1,525,388	\$14,256	107	\$1,525,403	\$14,256	-	\$15	\$0	
	WASHINGTON	WOODLIN	96	\$1,385,133	\$14,428	96	\$1,385,146	\$14,429	-	\$14	\$0	
163	WELD	GILCREST	1,878	\$13,899,567	\$7,402	1,878	\$13,899,704	\$7,402	-	\$137	\$0	
164	WELD	EATON	1,931	\$13,776,489	\$7,133	1,931	\$13,776,625	\$7,133	-	\$136	\$0	
165	WELD	KEENESBURG	2,247	\$16,589,147	\$7,384	2,247	\$16,589,147	\$7,384	-	\$0	\$0	
166	WELD	WINDSOR	5,529	\$38,984,163	\$7,051	5,529	\$38,989,683	\$7,052	-	\$5,521	\$1	
167	WELD	JOHNSTOWN	3,778	\$26,642,386	\$7,051	3,778	\$26,646,159	\$7,052	-	\$3,773	\$1	

			FY 2016	FY 2016-17 Under Current Law			FY 2016-17 Under HB16-1422			Change from Current Law		
	County	District	Funded	Total Program	Total	Funded	•			Total Program		
			Pupil	after Negative	Funding	Pupil	after Negative	Funding	Pupil	after Negative	Funding	
			Count	Factor	Per Pupil	Count	Factor	Per Pupil	Count	Factor	Per Pupil	
168	WELD	GREELEY	21,409	\$156,568,630	\$7,313	21,409	\$156,570,172	\$7,313	-	\$1,542	\$0	
169	WELD	PLATTE VALLEY	1,129	\$8,528,449	\$7,556	1,129	\$8,528,533	\$7,556	-	\$84	\$0	
170	WELD	FT. LUPTON	2,213	\$16,969,195	\$7,668	2,213	\$16,969,362	\$7,668	-	\$167	\$0	
171	WELD	AULT-HIGHLAND	838	\$6,519,263	\$7,784	838	\$6,519,327	\$7,784	-	\$64	\$0	
172	WELD	BRIGGSDALE	165	\$2,104,459	\$12,778	165	\$2,104,479	\$12,778	-	\$21	\$0	
173	WELD	PRAIRIE	194	\$2,420,109	\$12,468	194	\$2,420,109	\$12,468	-	\$0	\$0	
174	WELD	PAWNEE	85	\$1,260,619	\$14,919	85	\$1,260,631	\$14,919	-	\$12	\$0	
175	YUMA	YUMA 1	743	\$6,119,061	\$8,236	743	\$6,119,121	\$8,236	-	\$60	\$0	
176	YUMA	WRAY RD-2	759	\$5,937,407	\$7,828	759	\$5,937,466	\$7,828	-	\$58	\$0	
177	YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	202	\$2,425,461	\$12,019	202	\$2,425,485	\$12,019	-	\$24	\$0	
178	YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	69	\$1,060,972	\$15,354	69	\$1,060,982	\$15,354	-	\$10	\$0	
STA	TE	TOTAL	861,441	\$6,395,785,55 <b>1</b>	\$7,425	861,431	\$6,396,330,348	\$7,425	(10)	\$544,797	\$1	