Electronically Filed 2/2/2023 4:37 PM Seventh Judicial District, Fremont County Abbie Mace, Clerk of the Court By: Becky Harrigfeld, Deputy Clerk

Lindsey A. Blake, ISB #7920 Rob Wood, ISB #8229 OFFICE OF THE FREMONT COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY 22 W. 1st N. St. Anthony, ID 83445

St. Anthony, ID 83445 Tel: 208-624-4418

Email: prosecutor@co.fremont.id.us

Attorneys for the State

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FREMONT

STATE OF IDAHO,

Plaintiff,

v.

LORI NORENE VALLOW AKA LORI NORENE DAYBELL,

Defendant.

Case No.: CR22-21-1624

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE

The State of Idaho, by and through the Fremont County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, hereby responds as follows to the Defendant's Motion for Individual Voir Dire. The State joins in part and objects in part to the Defendant's request for individual voir.

The nature and complexity of this co-defendant, co-conspirator triple homicide where a jury may consider the death penalty necessitates a voir dire process which allows the Court and all parties to ascertain individual jurors' opinions on certain sensitive topics. Therefore, the State joins in the Defendant's request for some individual voir dire of the venire panel but requests that it be done in small panels.

Trial courts have broad discretion in handling voir dire and their decisions will withstand appellate scrutiny absent a showing of an abuse of that discretion. In capital cases in Idaho the Courts have approved both individual voir dire and voir dire in panels of veniremen. In determining the form of voir dire, the Court must consider several factors including fairness to the parties, fairness to the

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE

veniremen, the atmosphere created in the courtroom to encourage candor and forthright answers, the pressure individual jurors feel to provide answers, the sensitivity of the topics covered and judicial economy.

The State suggests that the Court can balance these factors best by conducting a voir dire that is a combination of individual and panel questioning. By allowing questioning of veniremen split into small groups with a limited number of individuals (panels of 6, 12 or 18 are often used), the Court can increase efficiency by limiting the repetitive questions asked of jurors by all three parties. Further jurors are not isolated from their peers, feeling pressure from the questioning of three sets of attorneys and the Court and are often able to listen and understand questions in context. General questions on the issue of punishment, case specific topics and basic legal principles are best asked of the small panels. Specific individual questions are then asked of each individual juror as to their personal answers in court and on their questionnaires. If the individual requests a private conversation with the parties or the court, those individuals are then questioned without the rest of panel present. Using a combination of panel questioning on general or broader topics and individual questioning for juror specific topics will allow the Court move more quickly through the jury selection process while protecting all parties' rights to fair trial.

The State requests the Court use a combined process of both panel (group) and individual voir dire.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of February, 2023.

/s/Lindsey A. Blak
Lindsey A. Blake
Rob H. Wood
Fremont County Prosecutor
Madison County Prosecutor

CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 2^{nd} day of February, 2023, tat a copy of the foregoing Response was served as follows:

John Prior john@jpriorlaw.com	 - x	U.S. Mail Hand Delivered Courthouse Box Facsimile: File & serve Email
James Archibald		U.S. Mail
jimarchibald21@gmail.com		Hand Delivered
		Courthouse Box
		Facsimile:
	X	File & Serve
		Email
John Thomas		U.S. Mail
jthomasserve@co.bonneville.id.us		Hand Delivered
		Courthouse Box
		Facsimile:
	X	File & Serve
		Email
	Flanz	Mecham
	Tiffany	Mecham