STATE OF CALIFORNIA DECISION OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD



HOWARD 0. WATTS,)
) Case No. LA-PN-129
Complainant,)
<u>-</u>) Request for Reconsideration
V.) PERB Decision No. 1001
)
ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATORS OF) PERB Decision No. 1001a
LOS ANGELES,)
) August 25, 1993
Respondent.	
· .	,

<u>Appearance</u>: Howard O. Watts, on his own behalf. Before Blair, Chair; Hesse and Caffrey, Members.

DECISION

CAFFREY, Member: This case is before the Public Employment Relations Board (PERB or Board) on a request for reconsideration filed by Howard O. Watts (Watts) of the Board's decision in Associated Administrators of Los Angeles (Watts) (1993) PERB Decision No. 1001. In that decision, the Board affirmed the Board agent's partial dismissal of Watts' public notice complaint which alleged that the Associated Administrators of Los Angeles (AALA) violated section 3547 of the Educational Employment Relations Act (EERA) by not making copies available of its

¹EERA is codified at Government Code section 3540 et seq. Section 3547 states, in pertinent part:

⁽b) Meeting and negotiating shall not take place on any proposal until a reasonable time has elapsed after the submission of the proposal to enable the public to become informed and the public has the opportunity to express itself regarding the proposal at a meeting of the public school employer.

initial proposals, and by indicating that the AALA reserved the right to supplement its initial proposals.

In his request for reconsideration, Watts contends the Board did not consider all of the evidence in this case because a hearing was not held. Watts concludes his request by merely restating the arguments considered by the Board in his appeal of the Board agent's decision.

DISCUSSION

PERB Regulation 32410(a) states, 2 in pertinent part:

The grounds for requesting reconsideration are limited to claims that the decision of the Board itself contains prejudicial errors of fact, or newly discovered evidence or law which was not previously available and could not have been discovered with the exercise of reasonable diligence.

By dismissing his complaint, Watts asserts that the Board failed to make "an honest evaluation of my arguments." He then restates the arguments he raised on appeal.

Watts has failed to provide any evidence to support his claim that the Board did not thoroughly and carefully consider his appeal. Watts has not cited any new evidence or law or presented any information to support his request for reconsideration.

⁽d) New subjects of meeting and negotiating arising after the presentation of initial proposals shall be made public within 24 hours. If a vote is taken on such subject by the public school employer, the vote thereon by each member voting shall also be made public within 24 hours.

²PERB regulations are codified at California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 31001 et seq.

ORDER

The request for reconsideration of <u>Associated Administrators</u>

of <u>Los Angeles (Watts)</u> (1993) PERB Decision No. 1001 is hereby

DENIED.

Chair Blair and Member Hesse joined in this Decision.