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| CALIFORNIA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES | November 29, 1984 |
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[^0]This case is before the Public Employment Relations Board on an appeal by William E. Harmening of the Board agent's dismissal, attached hereto, of his charge alleging that the California School Employees Association, Chapter 318 violated sections $3543.6(b)$ and 3543 of the Educational Employment Relations Act (Government Code section 3540 et seq.).

We have reviewed the dismissal and, finding it free from prejudicial error, ${ }^{\mathbf{l}}$ adopt it as the Decision of the Board itself.
$1_{\text {The regional attorney's dismissal letter erroneously }}$ states that the charging party alleged violations of section 3543.6(a) and (c). No such charges were made.
*Members Tovar and Burt did not participate in this Decision.

## ORDER

The unfair practice charge in Case No. S-CO-110 is DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND.

By the BOARD

## PUBUC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD

Son Francisco Regional Office

177 Post Street, 9th Fioor
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June 15, 1984

Mary H. Mocine
Mocine, Plotz \& Eggleston
1440 Broadway, Suite 1000
Oakland, CA 94512
Iouis Saenz
California School Employees
Asscciation Chapter 318
P. O. E0x 640

San Jose, CA 95106
Re: REFUSAL TO ISSUE COMPIAINT AND DISMISSAL OF UNFAIR PRACIICE CHARGE William E. Hammening v. California School Emoloyees Asscciation Chapter 318, Charge No. S-C0-110

Dear Parties:
Pursuant to Public Employment Relations Board (PEPB) Regulation section $32520(5)$, a complaint will not be issucd in the above-ceferenced case and the pending charge is hereby dismissed because it fails to allege facts sufficient to state a prima facie violation of the Educational Employment Relations Act (EERA). I The reasoning which underlies this decision follows.

On June 7, 1984, William E. Harmening, charging party, filed an wair practice charge against the California School Employees Asscciation Chapter 318 (Asscciation) alleging violation of EERA section 3543.5 (b). More specifically, charging party alleged that on March 28 , 1984 he mas recalled from his position as president of the Asscciation's Stockton chapter, that the procecure follored was rife with defects, and that the result was unfair because at least 25 nomembers voted at the meeting.

Examination and investigation of the above-referenced charge revealed the following, Charging party was elected president of the Association's stockton
 charging party was notified of an intent on the part of certain Asiscrintion members to hold a recall election two days later at the next regularly scheduled chapter meeting. On March 27, 1984, notice of the recall election was distributed among classified employees. Charging party contends thet the rotice was not distributed to all employees. On March 28 , 1984, the

Ineferences to the ELRA are to Coverment Code sections 3540 et seq. pran Regulations are codified at Califomia Administrative Code, Title 8.
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regularly-scheduled meeting was opened by charging party as president. The Association asserts that approximately 165 employees were present when charging party was elected president, and approximately 177 unit members attended the recall meeting. The recall procedure was initiated and the accusations against charging party were read. Charging party stated that he had not been provided sufficient time within which to prepare a response and that he would prefer to respond in writing. He then agreed to answer orally each of the separate charges against him. A voice vote was held, and it was concluded, by a representative of state CSEA who was conducting the meeting, that more than the required threefourth of the members present had voted in favor of the recall.

Harmening's wife (also an employee) challenged the results on the ground that more than 25 voters were not members of the Asscciation. A committee was fomed to investigate that allegation, and ultimately the approximately 25 nommemers were given an opportunity to become members that evening. There is no indication that such persons paid the jnitiation fee and regular dues which Charging Party asserts to be a precondition of memership under Article 2 , section 1 of the chapter's constitution and bylaws (see Exh. "A").

Charging party has alleged that the Association denied hin the right to fair representation guaranteed by section 3544.9, and thereby violated
sections 3543.6 (a) and (c). The fair representation duty imposed on the exclusive representative extends to contract negotiations (Redlands Teachers Association (Faeth) (9/24/78) EERB Decision No. 72; SEIU, LOCal 99 (Kimmett) (10/13/79) EERB Decision No. 106; Rocklin Teachers Professional Asscciation (Romero) (3/25/80) PERB Decision No. 124; El Centro Elementary Teachers Asscciation (Willis) ( $8 / 11 / 82$ ) PERB Decision No. 232); contract aưninistration (Castro Valley Teachers Association (MCElwain) (12/17/80) EERB Decision No. 149; SEOU Local 99 (Pottorff) (3/30/82) PERB Decision No. 203, and to grievance handling (Fremont Teachers Association (King) (4/21/80) PERB Decision No. 125; United Teachers of Los Angeles (Collins) (11/17/83) PERB Decision No. 258). PERB has ruled that a prima facie statement of such a violation requires allegations that: (1) the acts complained of were undertaken by the organization in its capacity as the exclusive representative of all unit employees; and (2) the ropresentational conduct was awbitrary, discrininatory, or in bad faith.

The duty to represent employees fairly is not applicable to activities which are strictly internal union matters:

Oniy such activities that have a suostantial impact
on the relationships of unit members to their employers are subject to that duty. (Rimmett, supra, at p. 8.)
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PERB has refused to review procedural objections to internal union elections. In Kimmett, supra, the Board stated:
[T] he election to select a representative to the negotiating team is not subject to the duty of fair representation. The negotiating team must represent alf employees in the unit fairly, but that obligation does not entail the selection of negotiators in any particular manner. (SEIU, Local 99 Kimmett, Supra, at p. 12.)

PERB explained its rationale as follows:
The internal organization structure could be scrutinized as could the conduct of elections for union officers to ensure conformance with an idealized participatory standard. However laudable such a result might be, the Board finds such intervention in union affairs to be beyond the legislative intent in enacting the EERA. (SEIU, ICCal 99 Kirmett, supra, ać p. 16.)

While elections or recall of union officers may not implicate the duty of fair representation, organizational discipline of members may violate the duty under limited circumstances. The Board did not intend in Kimmett "to abdicate [its] jurisdictional power to determine whether an employee organization has exceeded its authority under subsection 3543.1(a) to dismiss or otherwise discipline its members." (California School Employees Association and its Shasta College Chapter \#381. (Parisot) (1/31/83) PERB Decision No. 280, at p. 11.) Rather, an organization's failure to have reasonable provisions governing discipline of members, or its failure to abide by them, may violate the duty in light of the statutory prescription enabling employee organizations to "make reasonable provisions for the dismissal of individuals from membership" (see subsection $3543.1(a))$.

In the instant case, the incident complained of concerns a recall election of a union officer. It does not appear that the recall election procedures, on their face, or as applied, constitute disciplinary measures or that, as a result of the recall, Charging Party's membership was impaired by suspension, fine, decree of ineligibility for state or chapter office, or any other disqualification. (Cf. Parisot, supra.) Further, no information has been presented which suggests that the recall was initiated and/or implemented by the statewide organization or that state policy No. 613 (discipline of members) was invoked (Exhs. "B" and "C").
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Anomalously, CSEA argues that the actions taken against Farmening can be construed as essentially disciplinary in nature. This argument does not appear to be valid. The procedure set forth in Article III, section 5 of the constitution of the Stockton School Employees chapter 318, followed during the recall of Charging Party, is not on its face disciplinary in nature (Exh. "A"). Nor does it appear that the procedure was applied as a disciplinary measure in this instance. It is true that some or all of the voters may have voted in favor of the recall because charging party was involved in a decertification campaign against the Association prior to becoming presjdent. That decertification campaign conduct was the basis of one of several charges lodged against him. The likelihood that some voters sought charging party's recall on that basis, owever, does not change the election into a disciplinary proceeding against charging party by the local and/or statewide organization.

For the foregoing reasons, the Parisot rule does not extend to the facts involved in this case. Nor does it appear that any other legal theory exists in support of the charge. the charge fails to state a prima facie violation of EERA section 3543.6 (b). The allegations are dismissed and no complaint will issue thereon.

Pursiant to Public Employment Relations Board regulation section 32635 (Califomia Administrative Code, title 8, part III), you may appeal the refusal to issue a complaint (dismissal) to the Board itself.

Right to Appeal
You may obtain a review of this dismissal of the charge by filing an appeal to the Board itself within twenty (20) calendar days after service of this Notice (section 32635 (a)). To be timely filed, the original and five (5) copies of such appeal must be actually received by the Board itself before the close of business (5:00 p.m.) on July 5, 1984, or sent by telegraph or certified United States mail postmarked not later than July 5, 1984 (section 32135). The Board's address is:

```
Public Employment Relacions Eoard 1031 18th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
```

If you file a timely appeal of the refusal to issue a complaint, any other party may file with the Board an original and five (5) copies of a statement in opposition within twenty (20) calendar days following the date of service of the appeal (section $32635(b)$ ).
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## Service

All documents authorized to be filed herein must also be "served" upon all parties to the proceeding, and a "proof of service" must accompany the document filed with the Board itself (see section 32140 for the required contents and a sample form). The document will be considered properly "served" when personally delivered or deposited in the first-class mail postage paid and properly addressed.

## Extension of Time

A request for an extension of time in which to file a document with the Bcard itself must be in writing and filed with the Board at the previously noted address. A request for an extension must be filed at least three (3) calendar days before the expiration of the time required for filing the document. The request must indicate good cause for and, if known, the position of each other party regarding the extension, and shall be accompanied by proof of service of the request upon each party (section 32132).

## Final Date

If no appeal is filed within the specified time limits, the dismissal will became final when the time limits have expired.

Very truly yours,
DENNIS M. STJLTITVAN
General Counsel

By
FSTER HAEERFETD//
Regional Attorney
cc: General Counsel

ARTICEI
MAE，OBJECIITES，NiD DEEDMTENS
Section 1．The name of this organization sha？be the stockton School Emplopees Crapter So．318，CaIformia School．Empoyees Association．
Sertion 2．Its objects shall be to promote the efinciency and raise tie standarez of sefice of all public school employees；afford opporturn For the investigation and interchange of ideas upon subject perceicing to the prognessive developant of the publit schonl system；initiate and promote such legislation．as tay be for the best inteants of the schools and for the members of che organizacion；establish a spisit of friendiy cocperation with seate and local administrative oficiciais；and pronote the good and Hel三are of the members of the organixation．
Saction 3．Definitions．Fhere the following mores are used ta the Constitu＝ion and． Sylaus they shall ean：
（a）Cnapter－Scockton Schoal Enployees Cnapter ho．318，．．Califomia．Sehcoz－ Enployees issociation；
－Ca
Z（b）Patane organhzationor Associatlon－Che cat
… $=$（c）Doard－the Eoard of Educasion of the Districz；
（e）Adaniscration－the Adriniscration of the District；
（f）Gender－Where the masculiae gender is ：sec it shail aiso include flat Eeminins
（f） มอกむの：．

Acticle II
MEIBESST？
USection 1．Av person regularly employed in or horarabiy retired fron a pralle sciocol shali be cligitye for memoership in this chapret won the payment of the rezciar indetarion fee and deas．
Sectori 2．Application for membership shall be Eilled in and signed by the appincant． Mrrorary

## Arcicie ITI



（：）The folloufrs ofitces shali consticuse ob
Yresiolunc
Firsc Vice－president Second Vice－presilient Recordtng Secracazy Corresponcting Secratary
Trassuarer Parlanan＝artan Sargnant－at－Ans foporcez Jr．Past pravident



 (c) A quota of the Executive Combevee shall be che majority of that body.

Section 2. Eligioniity to Hold office. The officers and the elected repfozen=reivos

 cEil compaction of the tara of office, but shall automatically forfeit such ofizce if
 not a meriontin good standing.
Section 3. Ten of office. The term of office shall be one year EoE the offices of president, First Yico-president, Second Vice-President, Recording Secretary, Correspondinis Secretary, Treasurer, Parliamentarian, Sergeant-ar-inms, and Reporter. The Junior pase ? resident shall serve until..replaced by the net Junior Past President. The tate of offEnce for the electec representative from the major classifications to the E:eciefve Comittee shall be two years. The membership of Executive Committee representatives from custodial and clemical/techmical shall be filled in the even number years, and tie member ship of Executive Committee representatives from maintenance, paraprofessional, and cafeteria shall be filled in odd numbered years.

## Section 4. Election Procedures.

- (a) For offices: of President, First Vice-Presiders, Second Yice-president, Recording Serrataif, Corresponding Secretary, Treasurer, Pariianentarian, Sevjacat-at-
 to offer nominations for President, First Yire-president, Second vice-eresident, Recording secsecary, Corresponding Secretary, Treasurer, Parliamentarian, Sergeant-ar-it=, Reports and shall report its recommendations at the October chapter meeting.

Howfacions for those offices will then be accepted frons the floor. homindule EnE those offices Fill again be accepted from the floor at tie chapter's hoveriver weetfact If there is only one nomination for an office, a motion from tho floor. may be made to cast unanimous ballot for that (those) nominee (s). In the event more than one perst is nominated for an office, elections shall be by secret ballot.

 accordancerminSection 3 , Article III of the Constitution, shall by direction of and
 elect from among ins membership a representative to -the Executive comitaen.

 gatiless-ofthe date of the installation cereanory.




 Iaczil the officer and classification representative, the reasons tienfor, and has bema
 sector. 2, Article III of che Corscicution);

 operant, provided such person shall have bean notified ot the Eutaniva Couaroru's

 to remove any chainman or mp er but shall be oovatied by tip section in les action except it shall report its things to the chapter which sham vote on the issue．It shall require tiree－Foureis（3／4）vote of the eligible membership in attendance to remove a chairman or member of a committee．
（c）A chairman or member of a comittea shall automatically forfeit such office if et any rime during the prescribed term of office such chairman or member is not a member ． ifc good standing．
（i）Any member of any committee failing to attend tine（3）Consecutive mentini3s， niles excused for cause，shall be automatically dropped fro u said committee and a successor appointed．
Section 6．Fiscal and Chapter Year．The fiscal and chapter year shall be from January co December 31 of each year．

## Article TV

COMITTEES AND THEIR COMDOSITTCK

the following comate tess，theta
Section 1．Committees．The President shall appoint the foliorimg comittess，which appointment shall be subject to ratification by the Executive committee：


Section 2．Such committees as in the judgment of the Executive Committee ate necessary Lot rio welfare of the chapter shall be appointed．Tine Executive Comiteoe shall determine the composition of such committees and the duration of appointment which shall not extend beyond tine close of the fiscal year．

Section 3．The President shall be a member er officio of ail commies except the Roninatirg Comitnte．

## Article V


Gettiori 1．Delegates．Voting delegates to any annual in special conference of the户ミエen＝organization shall be as follows：
（A）Ane chapter president；
（b）Such other delegates in the number authorized by the Execuctue Coninictee and not to ex esd che number authorized by the constitution and bylaw of the partan organizeti Gonion 2．Election．Authorized delegates，other than tie president，shall te numinatact




[^0]:    Appearance: Mocine, Plotz \& Eggleston by Mary H. Mocine, Attorney for William E. Harmening.

    Before Hesse, Chairperson; Jaeger and Morgenstern, Members.* DECISION

