STATE OF CALIFORNIA DECISION OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD



CALIFORNIA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION AND ITS NEVADA UNION)
CHAPTER NO. 165,	Case No. S-CE-566
Charging Party,	Request for ReconsiderationPERB Decision No. 557
v. NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL) PERB Decision No. 557a
DISTRICT,) April 10, 1986
Respondent.))

<u>Appearances</u>: Christopher E. Niehaus, Field Representative, for California School Employees Association and its Nevada Union Chapter No. 165; Finkle & Stroup by Mary Beth de Goede for Nevada Joint Union High School District.

Before Morgenstern, Burt and Porter, Members.

DECISION

BURT, Member: The Public Employment Relations Board (PERB or Board), having duly considered the request for reconsideration of PERB Decision No. 557 submitted by Charging Party California School Employees Association and its Nevada Union Chapter No. 165 (CSEA or Association), hereby denies that request.

In its Decision No. 557, the Board upheld the administrative law judge's decision finding that the Nevada Joint Union High School District (District) violated section 3543.5(a), (b) and (c) of the Educational Employment Relations

Act (EERA) by its unilateral change in the method of calculating monthly payments to certain classified employees. In so finding, the Board rejected the District's argument that the change in calculating monthly payments had been fully negotiated with CSEA, together with an agreement to change the method of calculating vacation pay. The Board ordered the parties to negotiate about the issue, but declined to order a return to the status quo, since it found that a true status quo ante remedy would require that both changes be reversed, thus leaving these classified employees with a net reduction in pay.

Pursuant to PERB Regulation 32410, 2 the Association requests reconsideration of the remedy awarded by the Board, claiming, as it has throughout, that the Board should roll back the monthly pay calculation without reference to the vacation pay agreement.

leera is codified at Government Code section 3540 et seq. All statutory references herein are to the Government Code unless otherwise noted.

²PERB Regulations are codified at California Administrative Code, title 8, section 31001 et seq.

Regulation 32410 states, in pertinent part:

⁽a) Any party to a decision of the Board itself may, because of extraordinary circumstances, file a request to reconsider the decision within 20 days following the date of service of the decision . . . The grounds for requesting reconsideration are limited to claims that the decision of the Board itself contains prejudicial errors of fact, or newly discovered evidence or law

Contrary to the Association's argument, we found in the underlying decision that the vacation payment was included in the prior monthly salary calculation and was integrally tied to the underlying dispute. Accordingly, the vacation pay element was germane rather than peripheral to the parties' dispute and the Board appropriately determined that, to effectuate the purposes of EERA, it was preferable to roll back neither the monthly pay calculation nor the vacation pay agreement than to roll back both.

ORDER

The request for reconsideration of PERB Decision No. 557 (Case No. S-CE-566) is hereby DENIED.

Members Morgenstern and Porter joined in this Decision.

which was not previously available, and could not have been discovered with the exercise of reasonable diligence.

3EERA section 3541.5(c) provides as follows:

⁽c) The board shall have the power to issue a decision and order directing an offending party to cease and desist from the unfair practice and to take such affirmative action, including but not limited to the reinstatement of employees with or without back pay, as will effectuate the policies of this chapter.

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL C.C.P. 1013a

I declare that I am employed in the County of	Sacramento	, California.
I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the with	nin entitled cause; my busine:	ss address is
1031 18th Street, Suite 200 Sacra	mento, California	95814
On April 10, 1986 , I served the er	nclosed	
PERB Decision No. 557a Nevada Jt. Union High School I Case No. S-CE-566 (PERB Decisi	on No. 557)	
(Describe Docume	nt)	
on the parties to this case by placing a true copy the	ereof enclosed in a sealed er	rvelope with
postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States N	Mail, <u>Sacramento</u>	
	(City or Town)	
California, addressed as follows:		
Nevada Jt. Union High School Distr Attn: Michael D. Barkhurst, Supt. Ridge Road Grass Valley, CA 95945		
Nevada Union Chapter 165 CSEA 5301 Madison Ave., Suite 102 Sacramento, CA 95841		
Mary Beth de Goede, Attorney Robert Stroup, Attorney Finkle & Stroup 2344 Tulare Street, Suite 400 P.O. Box 1752 Fresno, CA 93717-1752		
Christopher E. Niehaus, Rep. California School Employees Assoc. 5301 Madison Ave., Suite 102 Sacramento, CA 95841		
I declare under penalty of perjury that the for	egoing is true and correct a	and that this
declaration was executed on		
April 10	Sacramento	, California.
(Date)	(City or Town)	, Camulina.
	2) ()/ +	
Teresa Stewart	Seresa Sewari	
(Type or print name)	(aiditainie)	

