

Request for Qualifications 070093
Parcel 15 (Former Portac Site) Investigation
Question and Answer No. 01

1. The projected contracting date listed in the RFQ is July 1, 2015, and the projected date to have an AO in place with Ecology is November 2015. Do the Solicitors intend to draw upon the resources of the successful team for assistance in finalizing/negotiating the AO or is this outside the scope of services? If the former, is it desirable to address AO negotiations in our qualifications and approach?

Negotiating the AO is outside the scope of services.

2. With regard to the background documents provided by the Port of Tacoma, the July 7, 1988, Hart Crowser report Remediation Plan, Portac Log Sort Yard, Port of Tacoma, Washington, Vol. II, includes only Appendix A. Can you please provide the files for the remaining appendices, especially Appendices B, C, and D?

The files are available and will be posted to the project FTP site.

3. Can you please tell me whether a consultant's prior environmental work at the Portac site constitutes a disqualifying conflict of interest for the 099597 – Parcel 15/Former Portac Site project?

Per the RFQ language, SOQs should include information on 'Any real or perceived conflicts of interests for team members, inclusive of the prime, sub-consultants and key team members.' Proposing teams should disclose all prior environmental work performed at the Portac Site. Proposing teams should also indicate whether they have performed environmental work on other properties for the Port of Tacoma, Portac Inc., or Mitsui and Co. (U.S.A.) Inc, and be prepared to disclose which properties during the interview.

4. Is the consultant that is currently supporting the Port and/or Portac (Anchor QEA) in negotiations with Ecology eligible to submit on this RFP?

No.

5. I am trying to get the Holder's list for: Request for Qualifications (RFQ) #070093; 099597 – PARCEL 15 (FORMER PORTAC SITE) INVESTIGATION

This has been posted to the [website for this project](#). Those who register for the Holder's List should fill out all information per the [instructions for registering for the Holder's List](#).

6. Is the consultant that is currently supporting the Port and/or Portac (Anchor QEA) in negotiations with Ecology eligible to submit on this RFP?

No.

Request for Qualifications 070093
Parcel 15 (Former Portac Site) Investigation
Question and Answer No. 01

7. The RFQ states that the response is to be limited to 8 numbered pages excluding cover page and required appendices. Proposed alternate wording for insurance requirements is one appendix. Are there other required appendices?

No, other appendices are not required. Resumes may be added as an appendix, but should only supplement and not replace information required to be submitted in the Statement of Qualifications per the RFQ.

8. Are resumes considered part of the 8 page limit? Or should we submit them as an appendix?

No, appendices are outside the 8 page limit.