A. Background [help]

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: [help]

Demolition of 1110 E Alexander Avenue Building

2. Name of applicant: [help]

Port of Tacoma, Contact Elly Bulega

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: [help]

Port of Tacoma, Attn. Elly Bulega
P.O. Box 1837
Tacoma, WA 98401
Phone: (O) 253-428-8625

4. Date checklist prepared: [help]

July 22, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist: [help]

Port of Tacoma (SEPA Lead)

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): [help]

Demolition is anticipated to occur in late 2022 or early 2023.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. [help]

Not at this time. However, the Port intends to redevelop this property in the future and will conduct SEPA analysis on those actions when they are proposed.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. [help]

Hazardous Materials Survey Report, Summary of Findings/Good Faith Survey, Port of Tacoma Property, 1110 Alexander Avenue prepared by PBS., June 24, 2022,

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. [help]

There are no known applications pending for approval or other proposals directly affecting the properties covered by this proposal.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. [help]

SEPA Determination (Port of Tacoma), Demolition Permit, Shoreline Review (City of Tacoma), Asbestos/Demolition Notification (Puget Sound Clean Air Agency), and Waste Disposal Authorization (Pierce County), if appropriate.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) [help]

The Port of Tacoma (Port) proposes to demolish one (1) existing building at 1110 E Alexander Avenue, a single story industrial building with a total building square footage of 4,222 square feet, and existing curbs and structure foundation from previous uses down to surrounding grade.

The building at the property (currently unoccupied) will be demolished and the construction debris will be disposed of off-site, by truck, at an appropriate upland facility. Hazardous building materials will be managed in accordance with recommendations made during pre-demolition building investigation by PBS (June 2022). After demolition, any soils exposed during demolition activities will be covered with a course of gravel to level and stabilize the site for erosion control. No re-development is proposed at this time. Any future re-development will undergo environmental review, as necessary.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. [help]

1110 E Alexander Avenue, Tacoma, WA. Pierce County Tax Parcel 2275200292. Port Parcel 115.

Tax description: Section 34 Township 21 Range 03 Quarter 11 ASHTONS RPT BLKS 13-48 TAC TDLDS: ASHTONS RPT BLKS 13-48 TAC TDLDS B 8 LESS NELY 110 FT LESS FOLL THAT PART OF FOLL LY WITHIN THE BDRY OF BLK 8 BEG AT A PT AT INTER OF E LI OF SEC 34 21 3E & NELY PIERHEAD LI OF PORT IND WATERWAY TH NLY ALG ELY LI SD SEC TO INTER WITH A LI THAT IS 400 FT SWLY OF SWLY R/W LI OF ALEXANDER AVE TH SWLY PAR TO E LI OF 11TH ST TO A PT ON PIERHEAD LI OF PORT INDUSTRIAL WATERWAY TH SELY ALG NELY PIERHEAD LI OF WATERWAY TO BEG SEG G 6484 MN

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS [help]

1. Earth

a. General description of the site [help]
(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? [help]

The project site is essentially flat. The site is located adjacent to the Blair Waterway, with a rip rap slope of approximately 25%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. [help]

Fill dirt typical of the Port of Tacoma tideflats. There are no agricultural soils or agricultural lands of long-term significance.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. [help]

Yes. Pierce County has outlined the west edge of the parcel touching the water to be a Landslide Hazard Area.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. [help]

The building will be demolished down to foundation and floors. Foundation and floors will generally be left in place with the potential for limited above grade

foundation removal to surrounding grade. Ground disturbance will include only what is necessary to decommission/cap underground utilities. Localized low spots will be filled with approximately 41 cubic yards of gravel.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. [help]

The property is relatively flat. There is potential for minor erosion of loose surface materials during active construction, which will be managed through standard construction BMPs.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? [help]

The amount of impervious surfaces will generally remain the same after demolishing the building and filling localized depressions with gravel. Approximately 99% of the site was impervious before demolition. After the proposed project, the site will remain 99% impervious.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: [help]

The Port will implement a Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control (TESC) plan and standard Best Management Practices (BMPs) during demolition including catch basin inserts and silt fencing where appropriate. After demolition, any soils exposed during demolition activities will be covered with a course of gravel to level and stabilize the site for erosion control.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. [help]

Minor, short-term air emissions would occur as a result of heavy equipment and vehicles used during demolition. There would not be additional long-term air emissions.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. [help]

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: [help]

Standard BMPs will be implemented to avoid or minimize adverse impacts to air quality during demolition. Measures include conducting regular inspections of equipment to ensure that uncontrolled emissions do not occur, enforcement of the Port's anti-idling policy, and dust control.

3. **Water**

a. Surface Water: [help]

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. [help]

Commencement Bay (Blair Waterway) is immediately adjacent to the demolition site.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. [help]

Demolition work will be within 200 feet of the Blair Waterway. (See SEPA plans)

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. [help]

Not applicable. No material will be placed in or removed from surface waters or wetlands.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. [help]

No.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. [help]

Yes, aligns with the east edge of the existing building.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. [help]

No.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. [help]

No groundwater will be withdrawn.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. [help]

No waste material will be discharged into the ground from septic or other sources.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. [help]

Water runoff from the project site is collected by existing stormwater facilities.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. [help]

During demolition, standard BMPs will minimize potential for sediment-laden runoff to enter the existing stormwater system.

Water runoff from the project site is collected by existing stormwater facilities.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No. Water from the project site will continue to be collected by existing stormwater facilities.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

During demolition, standard BMPs will minimize potential for sediment-laden runoff to enter the existing stormwater system (see response B.1.h).

After demolition, any soils exposed during demolition activities will be covered with a course of gravel to level and stabilize the site for erosion control. In the long term, drainage patterns will not be altered and no additional measures are proposed.

4. **Plants** [help]

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site: [help]

__ __deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
__ __evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
__x__shrubs butterfly bush, blackberry
__x_grass
_____pasture
_____crop or grain
_____ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
___ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
_____water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
_____other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? [help]

Existing vegetation will be protected to the extent possible during demolition. A small amount of vegetation around the building may be removed during demolition.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. [help]

No threatened or endangered plant species are known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: [help]

No measures are proposed.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Himalayan blackberry and butterfly bush are present at the property.

5. **Animals**

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include: [help]

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: gulls
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: otter, sea lion
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. [help]

See Table 1 below.

Table 1. Species Listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Known to be Near the Site.

Species Name			ESA Listing Status	Critical Habitat
Common Name	**Scientific Name**	**ESU or DPS[1]**		
Chinook Salmon	*(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)*	Puget Sound ESU	Threatened	Designated
Steelhead	*(Oncorhynchus mykiss)*	Puget Sound DPS	Threatened	Designated
Bull Trout	*(Salvelinus confluentus)*	Puget Sound DPS	Threatened	Designated
Southern Resident Orca	*(Orcinus Orca)*	Southern Resident DPS	Endangered	Designated
Humpback Whale	*(Megaptera novaeangliae)*	N/A	Endangered	Not Designated or Proposed
Marbled Murrelet	*(Brachyramphus marmoratus)*	N/A	Threatened	Designated
Boccaccio	*(Sebastes paucispinis)*	Puget Sound/ Georgia Basin DPS	Endangered	Designated
Yelloweye Rockfish	*(Sebastes ruberrimus)*	Puget Sound/ Georgia Basin DPS	Threatened	Designated
Canary Rockfish	*(Sebastes pinniger)*	Puget Sound/ Georgia Basin DPS	Threatened	Designated
Pacific Eulachon	*(Thaleichthys pacificus)*	Southern DPS	Threatened	Designated

[1] ESU: Evolutionary Significant Unit, DPS: Distinct Population Segment

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. [help]

The Tacoma tideflats are a part of the Pacific flyway for migrating birds. Adult salmon migrate from Commencement Bay into the Puyallup River, Hylebos Creek or Wapato Creek systems, and juveniles migrate downstream into Commencement Bay as smolts.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: [help]

No measures are proposed.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

There are no invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

6. **Energy and natural resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. [help]

The completed project will consist of an open lot for the Port to use for purposes yet to be determined. There are no inherent energy needs for this.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. [help]

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: [help]

There are no energy conservation features or proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts.

7. **Environmental health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. [help]

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

The Hazardous Materials Survey Report by PBS has confirmed that the site contains contaminated soils containing arsenic. In addition to the soil contamination, the Report also indicates the presence of the following:

Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM)

None of the materials sampled tested positive for asbestos.

Lead - Containing Paint (LCP)

Representative painted coatings from the project areas were collected by PBS and analyzed for lead content. The samples were assigned unique identification numbers and transmitted to Fremont Laboratories, Inc. (WA DOE Accredited IDA C910) in Seattle, Washington under chain-of-custody protocols for analysis using Flame Atomic Absorption (FAA). The following is a list of samples collected, lead content and general location:

- Yellow/Metal/Column (0.138% Lead) – interior south
- White/Wood/Door (0.0049% Lead) – exterior south
- White/Wood/Siding (0.0064% Lead) – exterior northeast
- White/Metal/Siding (0.00023 Lead) – exterior north

Impact of painted surfaces with detectable concentrations of Lead requires construction activities to be performed according to Washington Labor and Industries regulations for Lead in Construction (not limited to WAC 296 155 176). Workers impacting LCP should be awareness trained, provided proper personal protective equipment and use proper work methods to limit occupational and environmental exposure to lead until an initial exposure assessment has been conducted. Handling of painted coatings that contain lead content must be in accordance with 40 CFR Part 745 Lead/Metals. Disposal of components that contain lead and other regulated metals must be performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 26 and WAC 173-303 (debris profile test such as Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure for classifying materials for disposal options).

PCB - Containing Components

PBS inspected representative fluorescent light fixtures and HID light ballasts that are to be removed to facilitate the planned demolition. Representative light fixtures throughout the planned demolition areas were inspected and found to contain approximately twenty-six (26) suspect PCB containing ballasts.

PBS recommends all light ballasts included in the work be inspected prior to disposal. Regardless of labeling, magnetic ballasts should be presumed to contain PCBs and properly removed, stored, transported/shipped, and disposed of in accordance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303 Dangerous Waste Regulations and 40 CFR Part 761 Subpart D. Electronic ballasts do not contain PCB's and can be disposed of as general debris in compliance with applicable codes and endpoint facility requirements.

Mercury - Containing Components

PBS found forty-two (42) fluorescent light tubes and five (5) HID lights in the survey area. All fluorescent light tubes and HID lights are presumed to contain mercury. No thermostats were observed.

Some thermostats, and all HID lamps and compact fluorescent lights (bulbs and tubes) are presumed to be mercury-containing. Mercury is known to be toxic and requires special handling and proper disposal, ideally through recycling. PBS

recommends that fluorescent light tubes and compact lights be properly handled, managed, and recycled in accordance with applicable regulations and the Owner's policy during demolition/renovation activities.

Silica - Containing Materials

Certain building materials, including but not limited to fireproofing, concrete panels, plaster walls/ceilings, wall blocks, mortar, ceiling tiles and gypsum walls may contain silica. PBS performed visual observations for suspect silica containing materials. Based on the field observations and the scope of work, the following materials are assumed to contain silica:

- Concrete slab floors

Suspect silica-containing materials are assumed to be in concrete floor and wallboard systems.

Construction activities including, but not limited to, chipping, sawing and jack hammering require control of potentially airborne silica dust. Impact of these building materials with detectable concentrations of silica should be performed according to Washington Labor and Industries regulations for Silica in Construction (WAC 296-840 and 296-841 - Airborne Contaminants).

Workers impacting these building materials should be crystalline Silica trained, provided the proper personal protective equipment and use proper work methods and engineering controls to limit occupational and environmental exposure to silica until an initial exposure assessment has been conducted.

All hazardous building materials identified in the survey will be removed and disposed of according to applicable laws and the Port of Tacoma's plans and specifications.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

The contaminated soil noted in item 1 above will not affect the project as existing soils are not being disturbed or exposed. There are no known hazardous conditions onsite.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

Demolition activities will not result in storage, use, or production of toxic or hazardous chemicals.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services are expected to be required.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

The amount of ground disturbance will be limited to the amount necessary to conduct the work and the soil will be retained on site. There are no additional measures proposed.

b. **Noise**

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? [help]

Noise sources in this area include truck, car, and rail traffic associated with a maritime port as well as industrial activities present in the general vicinity. None of these would affect demolition.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. [help]

Sources of project noise would include vehicles, heavy equipment, tools, and activity associated with building demolition. This would be consistent with typical noise levels in the Port heavy industrial environment. Hours of construction operations will be consistent with the City of Tacoma Noise Ordinance.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: [help]

Project noise is expected to be of the types and levels typical of the busy port heavy industrial environment. No impacts are expected and no special measures are proposed.

8. **Land and shoreline use**

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. [help]

The property proposed for demolition is currently unoccupied. The City of Tacoma indicates that uses at properties adjacent to the demolition site include industrial and commercial business common to the Tacoma tideflats.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? [help]

No, the project site has not been used for working farmlands or working forest lands.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site. [help]

The building to be demolished is the only structures on the project site. Existing curb and foundations from previously demolished structures will be removed to surrounding grade elevation.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? [help]

The building and foundations described above will be demolished.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? [help]

The property is zoned PMI - Port Maritime & Industrial District

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? [help]

Core Container Port Area.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? [help]

S-10 Port Industrial Area (S10).

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify. [help]

The west edge of the property along the Blair Waterway has been noted as a

Landslide Hazard Area and is a marine/fish and wildlife habitat conservation area buffer.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? [help]

No people would reside or work at the site after demolition.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? [help]

The building to be demolished is currently not in use. No people would displaced by demolition.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: [help]

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: [help]

The areas are already zoned and designated in ways which are consistent with existing and projected land uses and plans: Port Maritime & Industrial District. Any future uses of these properties by the Port would be consistent with the current designations at that time.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

There are no nearby agricultural or forest lands of long-term significance.

9. **Housing**

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. [help]

None. Questions related to housing are not applicable to this proposal – there is currently no housing at or near these sites and none is proposed.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. [help]

None. Questions related to housing are not applicable to this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: [help]

None. Questions related to housing are not applicable to this proposal.

10. **Aesthetics**

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? [help]

There are no new structures proposed as part of the demolition proposal.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? [help]

The removal of the building will alter sightlines in their immediate vicinity by removing the buildings; however, the views in and around the area will remain typical of the port heavy industrial environment.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: [help]

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

11. **Light and glare**

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? [help]

During the demolition, supplemental lighting could be required during low-light periods. Timing would be consistent with allowable timing for construction work in the port heavy industrial environment.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? [help]

The post-demolition condition (vacant area) will not produce light or glare.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? [help]

The post-demolition condition (open area) will not produce light or glare.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

12. **Recreation**

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? [help]

There are no designated recreational opportunities within the immediate vicinity of the project site. The Port heavy industrial environment is not conducive to informal recreation such as running, biking, and walking, and is not part of an established network or a connection between networks for these activities. Recreation boating occasionally occurs within the Blair Waterway.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. [help]

No, the project would not displace existing recreational uses. There are no existing recreational uses to be displaced.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: [help]

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

13. **Historic and cultural preservation**

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. [help]

Yes, per Pierce County assessment records it appears that the building was originally built in 1970.

It is believed that the building will not be eligible for registration; however, should the historical survey and/or consultation conclude otherwise, the Port will work with DAHP and the City of Tacoma to develop and implement appropriate mitigation measures.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or

near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. [help]

None known at this time.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. [help]

There is low potential to impact cultural resources because the project will not disrupt native soils. The project only includes demolishing a building and filling depressed areas.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

There is low potential to impact cultural resources because the project will not disrupt native soils. The project will only demolish the building.

14. **Transportation**

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. [help]

The demolition site is located on E Alexander Avenue. The closest freeway access is SR 105 at Marine View Dr and E 11th St, approximately 0.7 miles away.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? [help]

The port heavy industrial environment is not currently served by public transit. The Tacoma area is served by Pierce Transit. The closest bus stop is approximately 1.8 miles away at Browns Point Blvd and 38th Ave.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? [help]

The project will not generate or eliminate parking spaces.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). [help]

No.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. [help]

No, the project will not use water, rail, or air transportation. The project will occur in the vicinity of water and rail transportation as it is located within the port maritime industrial area.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? [help]

The completed demolition would not directly generate vehicular trips.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: [help]

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

15. **Public services**

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. [help]

Emergency response may be necessary during the demolition activities. The completed project would not increase needs for public services.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. [help]

No impacts are anticipated and no measures are proposed.

16. **Utilities**

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: [help]
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other __

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. [help]

The Port will ensure that all demolition site utilities have been appropriately turned off and/or decommissioned prior to demolition. The sewer line and waterline serving each building will be decommissioned by cutting and capping the lines near each building. Power and telecommunications services to the buildings will be de-energized and removed.

C. Signature [HELP]

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Elly Bulega

Name of signee
Elly Bulega

Position and Agency/Organization
Project Manager | Port of Tacoma

Date Submitted: Aug 11, 2022