

Groundwater Condition

REPORT | SANTA CLARA COUNTY

January 2022

SUMMARY

This report summarizes December 2021 groundwater storage, recharge, pumping, and level conditions for the Santa Clara Subbasin (including the Santa Clara Plain and Coyote Valley groundwater management areas) and the Llagas Subbasin.

Seasonal recovery continues to stabilize or increase groundwater levels throughout the County, but levels remain lower than those at this time last year. Natural recharge increased in December and Valley Water is using emergency imported water supplies for additional managed recharge. Groundwater storage at the end of 2021 is estimated to be in Stage 1 (Normal) of Valley Water's Water Shortage Contingency Plan.

- January to December managed recharge is 57% to 83% of the five-year average.
- January to November pumping is 103% to 124% of the five-year average.
- Groundwater index well water levels for December 2021 are 2 to 12 feet lower than the December levels of 2020.

Table 1. Summary of Current Groundwater Conditions

	Santa Clara Subbasin		Llagas Subbasin
	Santa Clara Plain	Coyote Valley	
December 2021 managed recharge estimate	3,600	1,600	1,100
YTD managed recharge estimate	35,700	14,400	15,900
YTD managed recharge as % of five-year average	57%	83%	74%
November 2021 pumping estimate	5,000	1,000	3,100
January to November pumping estimate	74,300	12,700	40,700
January to November pumping as % of five-year average	122%	124%	103%
Current index well groundwater levels compared to December of 2020	2 feet lower	2 feet lower	12 feet lower

All volumes are in acre-feet. All data is for 2021 except where noted. YTD = Year-to-date.

Contact Us For questions, contact
Roger Pierno at (408) 630-2738



January 2022 Groundwater Condition Report

Groundwater Recharge

- Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the cumulative managed recharge for 2021 compared to the average of the previous five years (2016 – 2020).
- Through December, managed recharge is lower in the Santa Clara Plain, Coyote Valley, and Llagas Subbasin than the average of the previous five years due to drought conditions and limited surface water supplies.
- Managed recharge depends on many factors, including water demand and availability, regulatory needs, groundwater storage, and facility maintenance.

Figure 1. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Santa Clara Plain




Figure 2. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Coyote Valley





Figure 3. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Llagas Subbasin



January 2022 Groundwater Condition Report

Groundwater Pumping

- Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the cumulative groundwater pumping for 2021 compared to the average of the previous five years (2016 – 2020).
- Pumping estimates for January to November 2021 are based on monthly reporting pumping data and pumping data from water retailers. November is most recent available pumping.
- 2021 pumping to date is higher than the average of the previous five years in the Santa Clara Plain, Coyote Valley, and Llagas Subbasin.

Figure 4. Estimated Cumulative Santa Clara Plain Pumping




Figure 5. Estimated Cumulative Coyote Valley Pumping






Figure 6. Estimated Cumulative Llagas Subbasin Pumping



Groundwater Levels

Groundwater levels throughout the county have stabilized or increased slightly over the last several months. Table 2 summarizes current groundwater levels with historical comparisons for eleven regional monitoring wells that are distributed across the three management areas, as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Locations of Regional Water Level Monitoring Wells



This section intentionally left blank

January 2022 Groundwater Condition Report

Table 2. Comparisons to December 2021 Depth to Water (DTW) in Regional Wells

Location	State Well ID	December 2021 DTW (feet)	December 2021 DTW (feet) Compared to:			
			November 2021	December 2020	Prior 5-year Average for December	Maximum DTW during 2012–2016 drought
Milpitas	06S01W24H015	-8 (artesian)	3	-9	-18	29
Sunnyvale	06S02W24C008	-28 (artesian)	0	-8	-10	7
San Jose	07S01W25L001	100	3	-2	-17	37
Santa Clara	07S01W02G024	34	13	4	-22	57
S. Santa Clara	07S01W08D003	86	1	-8	-24	59
Campbell	07S01W27P009	143	2	-1	-22	55
S. San Jose	08S02E18L001	33	0	-11	-12	37
Coyote Valley	09S02E02J002	21	2	-2	-4	17
Morgan Hill	09S03E22P005	63	5	-6	-8	33
San Martin	10S03E01N005	65	4	-12	-26	16
Gilroy	11S04E10D004	25	7	-6	-9	38

Notes: Negative values in the last 4 columns indicate current groundwater levels are lower than the comparison time. The maximum DTW during the 2012–2016 drought occurred between July 2014 and December 2015, depending on the well.

Figures 8 through 18 show ten-year hydrographs for each of the eleven regional monitoring wells.

Figure 8. Milpitas Well Hydrograph




Figure 9. Sunnyvale Well Hydrograph




Figure 10. San Jose Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Santa Clara Plain)




Figure 11. Santa Clara Well Hydrograph





Figure 12. South Santa Clara Well Hydrograph



Figure 13. Campbell Well Hydrograph



The Campbell index well was replaced in August 2015 with a nearby well with similar water levels. Data in the chart prior to September 2014 is from the former index well (07S01W34F001).

Figure 14. South San Jose Well Hydrograph




Figure 15. Coyote Valley Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Coyote Valley)




Figure 16. Morgan Hill Well Hydrograph




Figure 17. San Martin Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Llagas Subbasin)




Figure 18. Gilroy Well Hydrograph

